New-York Daily Times.

VOL. V....NO. 1523. NEW-YORK, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 6, 1856. PRICE TWO CENTS.

[illegible]

[illegible] Juvenile Hards [illegible] Democracy [illegible] Tammany Hall. He pleaded and almost wept. Why should they not reciprocate? Why should discord disturb them? Oh, fear, let them come together to save the Union, or else Fremont would be elected, and Buchanan be defeated. Now was the time to act. Many people said that Buchanan was failing in popularity. He did not think it was so, but now was the time to save him. [Loud cheers.]

Mr. Bowman, Chairman of the Hall, formally accepted the invitation on behalf of the Hards. The two Committees joined hands in amity, and the procession returned down Broadway, accompanied by the Stuyvesant Hards, to Tammany, stopping on their way at the St. Nicholas Hotel, where Andrew J. Panken, Democratic candidate for Congress, briefly addressed them from the balcony. His text was the union of the Democracy. He made a few remarks, and seemed glad to retire, on account of the rain. Lorenzo B. Shepard followed in the same strain. He was about fifteen minutes in full talk. Then General Ward, of Westchester County, appeared, dimly discernible amid the gathering darkness of the night. He said, among other things, that Westchester County would do its duty, and give a majority for Buchanan. When the speaker had concluded, the assembly gave three cheers, formed again in procession, and proceeded on their way to Tammany.

At about a quarter past nine the united procession, headed by the band, returned from Stuyvesant Institute. The meeting was soon called to order by Mr. Benjamin Brewster, who introduced Mr. E. B. Nolan, Chairman of the Broadway gathering.

This gentleman observed that this has been emphatically and correctly styled the era of good feeling. What had been inaugurated at Cincinnati and at Baltimore was now consummated by the young Democracy of New-York, forming an unexampled rainbow of promise in the political horizon. They were there in union with the feelings of all good men and true who desire the prosperity of their common country, and who seek in her welfare the path of glory and renown. And now, adopting scriptural phraseology in reference to the fusion of the two societies tonight, he would say, "Dearly beloved, we are gathered together in the face of this congregation, and have united them in the bonds of holy matrimony. What God hath joined together, let no man put asunder." After a few remarks of the same character, the speaker introduced Mr. G. C. Graham as the future President of the united Association.

Mr. Graham said he was the worst man in the room to attempt a speech. He thanked them for the honor done him in their selection, but wished it had fallen upon some other individual. He had [illegible] the duties of equity befitting that position and would not have hesitated to do so, but it was thrust upon him from quarters where he had the least right to expect either friends or adversaries. However, no good Democrat was justified in refusing any station however responsible. Addressing himself to the young men present, he would urge upon them the absolute necessity of punctual attendance of all stated meetings. The action of the General Association might save the Empire State, and saving the State might save the Union. He submitted as the meeting the names of Douglas Taylor as first Vice-President, of John B. Fogarty, as the second, and as Secretaries, Edmund Grant and Samuel B. Chilton, together with Harvey B. Case, as Treasurer. These appointments were confirmed by acclamation.

There was a cry for Edmund. The Captain immediately sprang to his feet, saying that if any body wished to speak before he did, he was very willing. He was pretty well tired; and yet he was happy to mingle among them. It augured well to see the union of the two Committees, of Tammany Hall, and the Four Ten. The great Democratic Party were well convinced that this was an important crisis. They must come together. There was a necessity for it in order to save the Union. It was a question of law as some thought, but it was more a matter of honor rather than expediency for the south. That was evident, for not one in a thousand could possibly obtain a portion of them, and yet they himself would up at least 200,000 votes in this State. Could such of them get an office? It was necessary now to stand shoulder to shoulder as in days of yore, when victory perched on their banners. He was the friend of the great Democratic Party, the friend of the Union and the Constitution. There was no other party. There never was any other party. Other parties were the parties of a day, because their principles were those of a day. There were the same to-day as when the Democratic Party were organized under Thomas Jefferson. [Loud cheers.] True, there had been those who had left them. Let them go. God speed them. They had been thorns in the sides of all good Democrats for many years. The State might be lost by their defection, but what of that? Was great and such men had asked, whose shall wrong and who would scarcely answer, whose? Truth would whisper, go to the Democratic Party. (Cheers.) He knew the Woolly Horse would invite them to mount him, but as surely as they did, the concurrence would pitch and throw them among a squad of persons. The friends of Fremont had lately invited their colored brethren, and also their colored sisters, to meet them. They were so gallant, but when their colored friends of both sexes sat with them, side by side, unfortunately it was a warm evening. There was something of an offensive smell. Black as the sea of spades, and a shade darker, there was an evident wish to induce them to leave. The Captain, after the utterance of some expressions reflecting upon colored ladies, in language too gross for repetition, declared that it was strange he was to speak delicately of women. He said [illegible] Fremont had hugged their [illegible] and how more than one death had occurred from the stench. But not a word of this had found its way into the papers. The Captain's tongue was dumbed than thinking of him, and he would now proceed to enjoy some statesmanlike generosity.

The speaker next alluded to the Times and the Herald. He to sure they had a right to criticise. (A voice—"Don't forget the Herald,"—upon which a peling of groans were given for the Herald.) Once this journal was strongly in favor of the right of the Democratic party, and yet the Herald repeated the slander given by Mr. Graham to the young men and spoke particularly of their conduct when he should be laid in the shade. After enlarging the Republican Party to prove to a single act of a Democratic assembly that was not in perfect accordance with integrity and honor, and charging his political opponents with a disposition to meet numerous lying, he wound up with a remark that the Whig Party had at intervals of degradation to falsehood as smoke to second, or as a dog in a mud-puddle. Not that he had anything to say personally against any individual. Mr. Kennedy, a Louisiana Editor, was the next speaker. He talked of conception. From infancy he had been taught to regard the principles of the Democracy. The principles to which he belonged were—the Press; he put up Buchanan's name before he was nominated, and after the nomination placed at the head of his paper this motto—"James Buchanan, the giant of statesmen, the man who can guide the ship of State through every storm." This motto, he begged leave to say, was the sentiment of the whole South. [Applause.] Buchanan was to carry all before him at the South. Mr. Fillmore, estimable enough as a man, stood no chance in Louisiana, nor in any State south of Mason & Dixon's line. [Applause.] He pledged his word that Louisiana would give James Buchanan from 4,000 to 5,000 majority. The Know-Nothings claim Kentucky. But have you heard the dispatch which which has been received in this City to-night? [Cries of "No"—"What is it?"] Why, it is that she has given 10,000 for Buchanan. (Uproarious cheering.) Mr. Bayard spoke of Douglas, and there were three cheers for "the giant who was not a little but a big giant." The illustrious antecedents of Buchanan, and a denial of the story of the drop of Democratic blood, followed. An electric power passed through the speaker's veins when he looked at the hard hands and honest faces before him; he eulogized the laboring classes. He desired it to be remembered that the Democracy of Louisiana, and of the South, stand as one man. In conclusion, he wished to give as a sentiment, "The New-York Democracy is a unit—consequently the Union is safe." The Union, he contended, could not be dissolved; it shall not be dissolved. He stood at once a Union man, and a States Rights man, and rejoiced to find the blood of the Democracy flowing so smoothly and comfortably in one channel. There was a concluding apostrophe to the mighty bird of Jove, and the speaker retired under cover of much applause.

A vote of thanks was passed to the Young Men's Democratic Union Club for their escort of the consolidated clubs during the evening, and Mr. Simeon W. Gem, President of the Club, was invited up to make a speech. He referred to the old Guto of the party who participated at Wheatland, and spoke of that young eagle of Kentucky who plumes his wings and prepares for a new flight.

Mr. Brockman, of Louisiana, said he was a Union man, loving the flag which waves over the dwellers upon the Hudson, as well as those it floats above those who live upon the banks of the Mississippi. He invited all persons infected with a leprosy of Republicanism to come up to this pool of Bethesda and be cleansed.

Mr. Wm. J. Rom said a few words in encouragement of the union of the Democracy; had just left a grand demonstration on the other side of the water, where the men of Kings and Queens had come up in their power; was fully satisfied of the strength of the party; saw no reason to doubt the result of the contest; and claimed that the Democracy's united front would insure its triumph.

The gathering grew very slim, and finally disappeared utterly, save a few thirsty souls who congregated in the bar-room, and had an impromptu meeting on their own account, which was still in session at 12 o'clock.

LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Daily Times.

Magnetic Telegraph Sub-Office at Hanover-st., and 161 Broadway. Home Printing Telegraph—Office No. 21 Wall-street.

FILE BREAKING FROM WASHINGTON.

The Memorial of the Kansas Free-State Prisoners—The Elections in Missouri and Kentucky—Presidential Succession—Instructions to the Military Officers in Kansas—The Condition of Senator Sumner.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Daily Times.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The Memorial of Governor Robinson and the other Kansas Free-State men indicted for treason, asking for a law providing for writs of *habeas corpus* and *certiorari*, and for change of venue, presented by Mr. Seward to-day, attracts much attention. Its evident justice is a power for the Border Ruffians.

The news from Missouri, that Francis Blair is elected to Congress, is highly encouraging. Mr. Blair is well-known to sympathize strongly with the Anti-Slavery Extensionists.

Dispatches to-night announce that Kentucky has gone Democratic. This is crushing to the Fillmore men, who say, pertinently, "If the Americans cannot carry Kentucky, what Slave State can they rely upon for their Presidential nominee?"

Mr. Butler's report from the Senate Judiciary Committee, to-day, recommends, in case of the death or other disability of the President of the United States, that the President *pro tempore* of the Senate; or if none, then the Speaker of the House; or if none, then the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; or if none, then the senior Associate Justice having the necessary qualifications, shall act as President until the disability be removed, or a President shall be elected. It is understood that Mr. Butler designs passing the bill immediately.

The President sent to the Senate, to-day, an answer to the resolution of inquiry in relation to the instructions issued to any military officer in command in Kansas to disperse any unarmed meeting of the people of that Territory, or be prevent by military power any assemblage of the people of that Territory. Secretary Davis says, in reply thereto:

"I have the honor to inform you that no such orders as those referred to therein have been issued from this Department. The accompanying papers contain the only orders or instructions in relation to this matter from the War Department to the commanding officers of the military force in Kansas."

The following closing letters of the correspondence transmitted are the most important:

WAR DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, May 28, 1856.

SIR: Your letter of the 19th inst., this day submitted to me by the Adjutant-General, has been read, with its inclosures. You have justly construed your instructions, and your course is approved. The zeal manifested by you to preserve order and prevent civil strife between our fellow-citizens in Kansas received full commendation, but you have properly refused to interfere the military power of the United States, except under the circumstances and conditions contemplated in your instructions, authorized by the laws of the United States and warranted by the genius of our political institutions.

It will be equally within your province to maintain the supremacy of the law and the duly authorized Government of the Territory, from whatever source they may be assailed, whenever the Government shall require your aid, in the manner specified in your instructions, and for the great purpose which justifies the employment of military force, it matters not whether the subversion of the law arises from a denial of the existence of the Government, or whether it proceeds from a lawless disregard of a right to protection of persons and property for the security of which the Government was ordained and established. Very respectfully,

Your obedient servant,

JEFFERSON DAVIS, Secretary of War.

Col. E. V. Sumner, Commanding First Regiment Cavalry, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas.

ADJUTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE, WASHINGTON, July 31, 1856.

SIR: Your letter of the 7th instant, reporting your return to Fort Leavenworth, and the measures adopted by you under the proclamation of the Acting Governor of Kansas Territory, dated July 4, 1856, has been received and laid before the Secretary of War, by whom it has been referred to this office with the following indorsement, which is communicated to you for your information and government:

"The communication of Col. Sumner, and the proclamation inclosed, indicate the circumstances so disclosed in previous reports, existed to justify him in employing the military force to dispose the Assembly at Topeka. Though this indicated, it is not yet made fully to appear that the case was one in which by his instructions he was authorized to act, viz.: that the Governor had found the ordinary course of judicial proceedings and the powers vested in the United States Marshal inadequate to effect the purpose which was accomplished by the employment of the troops of the United States. Col. Sumner will be called upon for communications upon this point. JEFFERSON DAVIS,

Secretary of War."

The correspondence transmitted to Congress relative to the meeting in Washington Territory, the declaration of independence there, and the arrest of Chief Justice Lecompte, contains the statement of facts which Governor Reeder and other citizens of Washington boldly charge Governor Stevens' course as the consequence of intoxication, and urge his instant removal as necessary to the preservation of peace.

I understand that Mr. Colfax, of the House, has a letter from Senator Sumner, stating that he continues very feeble; that though he improved during the first part of his visit to Cape May, he lost ground during the latter part of his sojourn there, and that though he would willingly have given up three years of his life to be in his seat in the Senate the closing three weeks of the session, he has been forced at last to give up all hope of resuming his Senatorial duties at present. S.

The Interference of Col. Sumner with the Free-State Legislature of Kansas.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The President sent a message to the Senate stating, in reply to a resolution, that no order was issued from the War Department to any officer commanding in Kansas to disperse any unarmed meeting of the people of the Territory, or prevent them by military power from assembling. From the correspondence transmitted it appears that the Secretary is not satisfied that the circumstances were such as to justify Col. Sumner in employing military force to disperse the Assembly at Topeka, and has called upon him to communicate on that point, if not yet fully appearing the case was one upon which by his instructions he was authorized to act.

Continuance of the Coolly Trade in Cuba.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The State Department has information that the trade in coolies in American and British vessels continues with unabated vigor in Cuba. An English captain is under contract for delivering this description of laborers on plantations, where they are treated no better than slaves. The emigration of these Chinese does not diminish the trade in Africans, who are brought in large numbers to Cuba.

XXXIVth CONGRESS—First Session.

SENATE....WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

THE BOUNDARY OF WASHINGTON TERRITORY.

The Senate passed the House bill providing for running a boundary line between Washington Territory and the British Provinces.

THE FREE-STATE PRISONERS IN KANSAS.

Mr. Seward presented the petition of Charles Robinson and others, now confined in Kansas under a charge of treason, praying for relief. Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

The petitioners set forth that their trial is to take place on the second Monday of September, at Lecompton, and, believing they cannot there have a fair and impartial trial, such as the Constitution guarantees every citizen, pray the venue may be *changed, and they be tried by the Supreme Court of* the United States.

Mr. Seward asked attention to the petition at as early a day as possible, because the time assigned for the trial was near at hand, and the nature of the case such as to require the most profound consideration. These trials arose out of a contest of political opinion between parties and citizens of the United States, and which divides the two Houses of Congress and the Departments of the Government, the Freedom's being on one side, and the House on the other. Political trials are almost unknown in this country. The employment of the Judiciary to settle and establish political principles and policy is a resort which has never been made with success and with a good result to the peace and harmony of society in any free country in the world. If you refer a thing—

Mr. Weller—I object to a discussion of the question now. It is out of order. I have some reports to make, and know that other Senators have, and we don't want the whole morning hour thus consumed.

Mr. Seward—I have but a word or two more to add, and hope I shall be allowed to proceed.

Mr. Weller not pressing his objection, Mr. Seward said—Political convictions and judgments of attainder, however obtained, and however popular when obtained, were always subsequently reversed.

PRESIDENTIAL SUCCESSION.

Mr. Butler, from the Judiciary Committee, then made a report on the necessity of additional legislation in case of the death or disability of the President and Vice-President.

RIVERS AND HARBORS.

The Senate considered and passed bills for the improvement of the Harbors of Michigan City, Vermilion and Chicago, and for the improvement of the Navigation of the Ohio River. The bills for the improvement of the Harbor of Dubuque and Waukegan were rejected. Adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Bills relating to the District of Columbia were taken up and considered, and about half a dozen of them passed.

THE APPROPRIATION BILL.

The House then went into Committee of the Whole on the Legislative, Judicial, and Executive Appropriation bill.

Mr. Grow moved to strike out the salaries of the Judges and Secretary of Kansas, declaring he would not vote to sustain usurpation nor to pay the salaries of Judges who trample down the liberties of the people in that Territory.

Mr. Phelps denied that the Legislative Assembly was elected by fraud, the Pro-Slavery Party being in the majority. The effect of the amendment, he said, would be to delay the passage of the bill.

Mr. Sherman offered an amendment providing that no part of the money for the Territory shall be expended till the release of those under arrest for treason and other political offences. He stated that in the County of Leavenworth there were sixty-five men thus charged, and in Douglass six men for treason, which every lawyer knows to be unfounded.

Mr. Millson said the amendment involved the direct interference of the House with the course of Judicial proceedings, saying, in effect to the Judge, you shall have no money unless you acquit the prisoners. He would not characterize the movement as revolutionary, but it was monstrous and subversive of every principle of common and criminal law.

Mr. Sherman's amendment was rejected by six majority, and Mr. Grow's disagreed to.

Mr. Dunn offered a proviso, that no part of this money be drawn from the Treasury till a bill be passed and approved by the President for the pacification of the troubles in Kansas, which shall contain a Slavery restriction regarding Kansas and Nebraska. There was but one remedy—take hold of the real mischief by the roots and tear it all up.

Mr. Grow agreed with Mr. Dunn in that remark—but why did he not vote for the bill to admit Kansas with the Topeka Constitution, which would have plucked out Slavery and given peace?

Mr. Dunn's amendment was adopted by ayes 70; noes not counted.

On the motion of Mr. Grow another was added, that no money be paid until the pending prosecutions for treason and other alleged offences against the laws of the Legislature, which assembled at Shawnee Mission, be dismissed.

The compensation and mileage of the members of the Legislative Assembly, officers and clerks, and the contingent expenses, $90,000, were stricken out.

The House then took a recess till 7 P. M.

EVENING SESSION.

Mr. Gregg contrasted the past with our present history to show how far the Government has departed from the doctrine of Washington and Jefferson on the good principle of human rights.

Mr. Stephens vindicated Slavery as being the best condition for the blacks of this country, and justified the repeal of the Missouri Restriction on the ground of its unconstitutionality. He defended the principles of the American Party.

Mr. Harris arraigned the Northern Democracy for their departure from the Anti-Slavery principles on which they formerly triumphed, and said he would try, power and patronage them, and when November comes assist in their annexation. Adjourned.

Fire in Louisville.

LOUISVILLE, Ky., Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Four four-story warehouses on Second-street, between Main and Water streets, were destroyed by fire to-night. Two of them were owned by Walnack & Lynsous, and were full of score pedestals, coatings, &c. Loss, $80,000; insurance, $10,000. One was owned by Ready & Davis, and was filled with bagging, bale rope, cotton, whisky, bacon, &c. Insurance, $35,000. The other was owned by Ernst & Ren., and was filled with agricultural implements. Loss, $24,000.

COL. FREMONT'S RELIGION.

Letter from Lieutenant-Governor Raymond, of New-York.

CINCINNATI, Aug. 5.

The following letter from Lieutenant-Governor Raymond, of New-York, on the subject of Col. Fremont's religion appears in the Cincinnati Gazette, of this morning:

NEW-YORK, Tuesday, July 29, 1856.

MY DEAR SIR: Your favor of the 1st inst. ought to have been answered long ago, but absence and business must plead my excuse.

I am not surprised to hear that the rumors so widely circulated concerning Col. Fremont's religion should have the effect of causing some, who sympathize thoroughly with his sentiments in regard to the extension of Slavery, to hesitate about pledging themselves to his support. But so far as those rumors assert, or imply, that he is a Roman Catholic, they are without the slightest foundation in fact.

I presume that, from proper motives of delicacy and self-respect, Col. Fremont will not publish anything himself on the subject, or take any part personally in the canvass. But he converses with the utmost freedom upon these topics, as upon all others; he has no desire or disposition to practice any concealment of his religious opinions; and I know no reason to suppose that he would desire any one to do so in his behalf.

Col. Fremont is not now, nor has he ever been, a Roman Catholic. His father dying when he was five years old, he was educated exclusively in Protestant schools, and at the age of sixteen was confirmed, of his own motion and from sincere conviction, in the Protestant Episcopal Church, of which he has continued ever since to be a member. Not one of his own children has ever been sent to a Roman Catholic school, though I believe an adopted daughter attended for a short time the seminary at Georgetown, of which the pupils generally are largely Protestant. That this ought not to be construed, to his prejudice, even by the most zealous Protestants, is sufficiently shown by the fact that Mr. Fillmore sent his own daughter to a Roman Catholic seminary at Buffalo for purposes of special instruction; yet no one ever inferred from this circumstance that he himself was not a Protestant.

Colonel Fremont's marriage was celebrated by a Catholic priest; but this was in consequence of the difficulty if not impossibility of procuring any other clergyman to perform it. The ceremony was in a private room, was very short and simple, and did not imply any assent, on his part or that of his wife, to the doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church; *nor was either of them required or* requested on that occasion to give any pledges that their children should be brought up in that faith. They have all been baptized and educated in the Protestant Episcopal Church.

The statements which Alderman Fulmer of this City is said to have authorized, to the effect that in March, 1852, he saw Colonel Fremont joining in the religious services of a Roman Catholic Church at Washington, and that in a subsequent conversation with him at dinner at Brown's Hotel, Colonel Fremont declared himself a Catholic and a believer in the peculiar doctrines of that Church, are entirely untrue.

Col. Fremont was not in the City of Washington at all during the year 1852. He left New-York for California in March, 1850. He returned in the steamer *George Law*, which reached New-York on the 6th of March, 1852; and, remaining in that City four days, he left on the 10th, in the steamer *Africa*, for Europe, and did not return until June, 1853. I understand the Alderman Fulmer exhibits a receipt from Brown's Hotel dated March 7, 1852, for four days' board. This makes it certain that the Alderman's stay there terminated on the 7th, and that the alleged conversations must have taken place previously to that date. But as Col Fremont did not reach New-York from California until the 6th, it is impossible that he should have been connected with them,—especially as he remained in New-York until his departure for Europe, and did not visit Washington at all. He has no recollection of having ever dined at Brown's Hotel until this last Winter, since 1841, or of having ever seen Alderman Fulmer, there or elsewhere. The Alderman, I am informed, is a man who would not be likely to make such statements unless he believed them to be true. But it is very certain that he has fallen into a very gross error somehow, probably by mistaking some other person with whom he may have held the conversation in question, for Col. Fremont. He owes it to his own character, as well as to justice, to take steps to correct, or correct, the accuracy of his recollections in this matter.

You may rely upon the entire authenticity of the statements I have thus made in reply to your inquiry for the "facts." In the present state of the public mind, and in view of the earnest and persevering misrepresentations of the truth, you may think it desirable that they should be generally known. If so, you are quite at liberty to make them public, and to add that they are given as the result of conversations with Col. Fremont himself.

I am, very truly, yours,

HENRY J. RAYMOND.

E. D. Mansfield, Esq., Cincinnati.

Political Matters.

MEETING OF THE MASSACHUSETTS AMERICAN STATE COUNCIL—INDORSEMENT OF FREMONT AND JOHNSTON.

FITCHBURG, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The Massachusetts American State Council held a quarterly meeting here to-day. About 150 delegates were present.

A. R. Ely, of Boston, moved to indorse the nomination of Fillmore and Donelson.

Gideon Hayes moved to amend by substituting Fremont and Johnston.

Amendment carried by 86 to 38.

B. F. Cook, of Boston, then read a document from the President of the National American Council, revoking the charter of the Massachusetts Council on the ground that they refused to support the nominations of the American Party, fairly made, and granting authority to the Fillmore men to form new Councils.

The Fremont wing then withdrew, and formed a new State Council, with A. R. Ely as President.

The Fremont portion indorsed the renomination of Gov. Gardner, and adhered to the nominations of Fremont and Johnson.

CONGRESSIONAL NOMINATION IN MAINE.

PORTLAND, Me., Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The Republican Convention of the Second District of Maine to-day nominated Charles J. Gilman, of Brunswick, for Congress.

PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN STATE CONVENTION.

HARRISBURG, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The American State Convention met to-day. Ammon Burpeau was the President. James R. Ingersoll and Andrew Stewart were chosen Presidential Electors. The Convention rejected the proposition for forming a fusion Electoral ticket, by a vote of 72 against 18.

The American County Convention nominated John C. Kunkel, for reelection to Congress. A Fremont County Ticket is talked of.

NEW-JERSEY DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION.

TRENTON, N. J., Tuesday, Aug. 5.

The Democratic State Convention will meet in this city to-morrow, to nominate a Governor. A large number of delegates are expected.

The Same Elsewhere.

THE ELECTION IN KENTUCKY—PROBABLE DEMOCRATIC SUCCESS.

LOUISVILLE, Monday, Aug. 4—9 P. M.

Telegraphic facilities are limited in this State, and our election returns are those for very remote and indefinite, but they indicate a close contest.

A test vote in this city shows the American majority of 3,100. At Lexington and Frankfort American majorities are reported. At Covington and Newport the precise figures are not known. Partial returns from the northeastern counties indicate Democratic successes, but we have nothing reliable.

CINCINNATI, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Scanty returns from Kentucky this morning favor the Democrats, and the impression prevails that the Democrats have been generally successful throughout the State.

LOUISVILLE, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Barren County—121 American majority. Owen County—Duvall, Democratic Judge, 1,164 majority. Harrison County—[illegible] majority. Scott County—400 Democratic majority. Fayette—247 majority for Know-Nothing Sheriff.

THE ELECTION IN MISSOURI—BLAIR REELECTED TO CONGRESS.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Private dispatches received here say that Blair, for Congress, has 800 majority in St. Louis City, and 600 in his district. It is also said that Benton has 1,000, in St. Louis, majority over Byrne, the American candidate for Governor, and 3,000 over Polk, the anti-Benton candidate.

LOUISVILLE, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Blair's majority over Kennett will reach 1,000. Benton gains out of St. Louis County about 2,500 about, and Polk is 2,000 ahead of Byrne. At Hannibal, Bunce's majority over Benton is 365, and over Polk 115. Richmond has gone Democratic. In Scott County the Democratic gains are over 500.

THE ELECTION IN IOWA—SUCCESS OF THE REPUBLICANS.

CINCINNATI, Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Davenport, Scott County, 350 Republican majority; Muscatine, about 150 Republican majority; Jackson County, 150 Republican majority; Des Moines County, 100 Republican majority.

Powder-Mill Explosion and Loss of Life.

PORTLAND, Me., Tuesday, Aug. 5.

Yesterday afternoon the Corning and Glazing Mills connected with the powder works at Gorham blew up, killing Perez Beckett, of Canada, and Messrs. Gerry, of Portland, and White, of Acton, Mass.

LONG ISLAND.

Kings County Board of Supervisors—Annual Election.

The Annual meeting of this Board was held in in the County Jail, Brooklyn, yesterday afternoon, Mayor Hall in the Chair, and a quorum of members present.

ELECTION OF A CHAIRMAN.

On motion of Supervisor Bunker, the Board proceeded to elect a Chairman with the following result:

FIRST BALLOT.

R. C. Brainard	9	Samuel Smith	1
D. K. Lindsay	6	Blank	2

SECOND BALLOT.

Brainard	9	Smith	1
Lindsay	8	Blank	1
T. G. Bogert	2		

THIRD BALLOT.

Brainard	11	Smith	1
Lindsay	4	Blank	2

Supervisor Brainard was declared elected, and on motion of Supervisor Smith it was made unanimous.

The Chairman took his seat and returned thanks for the honor of his reelection.

On motion of Supervisor Benson, Mr. A. H. Osborne was unanimously reelected Clerk.

Minutes of the last meeting were then read and approved.

The Rules of last year were adopted.

ANNUAL REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT OF THE POOR.

Admitted to the Alms House Buildings during the year, and born.....4,477
Discharged and absconded.....4,288
Died.....[illegible]
Bound out.....[illegible]
Put out on trial.....96
Persons remaining.....1,529
Admitted.....4,477
Temporarily relieved.....18,695
Total number relieved and supported.....24,695
Total expenses during the year.....$[illegible]
Estimated necessary for the ensuing year.....$212,624,80

To Committee on Accounts.

Petition of the Mechanics' Bank of Williamsburg for exemption from tax for the year 1856, to Committee on Equalization of Taxes.

Communication from County Treasurer, with Bonds and Mortgages and other securities in his hands, which amounts are deposited as follows:

In the New-York, Long Island and Trust Co.	$4,528 70
Mechanics' Bank, Brooklyn	9,547 50
Central Bank, Brooklyn	9,551 94
Atlantic Bank, Brooklyn	950 97
Brooklyn Savings Bank, Brooklyn	710 28
Central Bank, Brooklyn	124 68
Manhattan Co., New-York	139 50
Total	$14,553 97
Total amount of Bonds and Mortgages	142,076 60
Total	$157,585 59

To Committee on Accounts.

Bond Surrogate with Return of fees received the last year ending Aug. 1, 1856, amounting to $2,212 87. To Committee on Accounts.

Return of Mayor and City Clerk of the taxation authorized for City purposes by the joint Board of Supervisors and Aldermen. To Committee on General Taxes.

Mr. James Edwards was reappointed Alms House Keeper.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCY OF TAXES FOR 1855.

Wards.	Amount charged each Ward.	Amt. recov'd for taxes 1855.	Amt. recov'd for arrears of taxes.	Total.
1	$40,407 20	$32,291 67	$3,426 49	$35,718 16
2	36,245 22	31,122 14	1,704 42	32,826 56
3	30,473 70	26,046 24	3,147 66	29,193 90
4	30,627 38	26,195 21	2,980 14	29,175 35
5	29,027 41	21,062 44	3,975 74	25,038 18
6	258,025 75	48,649 32	15,410 79	64,060 11
7	68,125 61	51,000 77	3,649 43	54,650 20
8	60,649 69	44,080 77	3,649 43	47,730 20
9	79,227 47	58,727 42	13,709 19	72,436 61
10	42,087 46	27,986 24	14,610 44	42,596 68
11	97,719 37	69,398 62	21,941 49	91,340 11
12	27,290 11	19,128 66	4,499 43	23,628 09
13	72,278 34	52,152 14	7,856 97	60,009 11
14	28,111 84	8,769 42	3,283 07	12,052 49
15	14,529 60		1,311 88	1,311 88
16	16,606 28	3,411 12	1,045 15	4,456 27
17	18,413 68	7,348 76	1,075 36	8,424 12
18	17,879 70	5,719 64	655 77	6,375 41
Total	$[illegible]	$[illegible]	$[illegible]	$[illegible]
Aggregate deficiency of Brooklyn				$[illegible]

Towns.				
N. Utrecht	$10,578 68	$10,366 70	$211 97	$10,574 67
Flatbush	4,422 27	4,422 27	10 20	4,432 47
New-Lotts	1,720 40	1,647 36	73 04	1,720 40
Flatlands	2,247 16	2,246 24	....	2,246 24
Gravesend	2,776 19	2,761 34	14 85	2,776 19
Amount of deficiency on New-Utrecht				$211 97
Deficiency in collection on Flatbush				173 27
Deficiency in collection on New-Lotts				146 64
Surplus on Flatlands				42 16
Surplus on Gravesend				4 77
Amount of uncollected taxes in Flatbush				$377 43

A communication was received from residents of New-Utrecht relative to the fumigating of vessels outside of the buoys at Quarantine. A resolution was adopted, appointing a Committee of three, consisting of Mayor Hall, the Chairman of the Board—Supervisor Brainard—and Supervisor Bunker, to request that the vessels be removed, and in case this is not complied with, to take some legal steps, if possible, to secure their removal.

The Keeper of the Penitentiary reported that the whole number of prisoners confined there on the 1st of August was 164—males 93; females 71.

Committed during the past month.....147
Discharged during the past month.....137

A Committee of three was appointed to appoint Grand Jurors for each town and ward, and report at the next meeting.

The Board adjourned until next Saturday.

Political Miscellany.

The Chicago Times charges that William H. Bissell, the Republican candidate for Governor of Illinois, is constitutionally disqualified for the office to which he aspires. The constitution of that State provides that any citizen sending or accepting a challenge, shall be ineligible to any State office; and that before entering upon his duties every State officer must take oath that he has not violated the provision of the Constitution. The allegation is that Colonel Bissell, subsequent to the adoption of that instrument, did accept a challenge from Jefferson Davis to fight, and did go out of the State to meet him with deadly weapons.

[illegible] Dr. MACLAY. The [illegible] a Committee of Inquiry was first proposed by Wm. COLGATE [illegible] named all the members of the Committee, [illegible] we hope, "a much Board." He mentions several things which he said must be done, among which was the dismissal of incompetent revisers. It is true that WM. COLGATE was an uncle, and GEORGE W. ABBOT a brother-in-law of my first wife, and, as was further stated on another occasion, Dr. MACLAY was the father of my former partner. But he who supposes that these relations can render such men incapable of making an impartial investigation into the present condition and practical workings of the Bible Union, must have a knowledge of human weakness which consciousness alone imparts.

The official narrative continues:

"No sooner had the Committee met than it became apparent that the real object of Dr. JUDD was to destroy the character and standing of the Corresponding Secretary."

If this were true, my undertaking would be more honorable than secret calumny and detraction, against which a man has no opportunity of self-defence. But I never had an idea of doing any such thing, unless the character and standing of the Secretary necessarily falls with the rectification of errors in his administration. Men who manifest such ready presumption in pronouncing upon another's motives cannot claim immaculate purity for their own.

Speaking of a change in the Committee on Versions, they say:

"Another person (A. T. SMITH, Esq.) was substituted for Rev. O. B. JUDD, LL. D., because the latter was a reviser, and it was not thought advisable that a reviser should be a member of the Committee of the Board that was required to examine the incoming revisions, and report upon them to the Board. This change was made on the expressed wish of each member of that Committee. They did not think it right for a reviser to be on that Committee at all; nor impartial for Dr. JUDD to be upon it when no other reviser was upon it. He became a reviser after he was appointed on that Committee, but did not, from any sense of delicacy, see fit to resign his place upon it after his appointment as such, while his presence embarrassed its members more than once, in their deliberations. When he learned that he was not reappointed on the Committee on Versions, he declared that he would not be put on a level with other revisers."

It will be seen that the reason here assigned for my removal from this Committee is strangely inconsistent with the fact that some time previous to that removal the Committee had recommended, and the Board had authorized, the printing of my entire revision as fast as it should be prepared for the press. As "this change was made on the expressed wish of each member of that Committee," it would seem that the Committee was made up beforehand according to the wishes of its own prospective members, and not by the independent action of the Board. I was first appointed on that Committee in June, 1850. I was reappointed in October, 1850, and in October, 1851. I was first appointed as a reviser Sept. 29, 1852, at the last meeting of the Board for that year, only eight days previous to the Anniversary of the Union, which allowed me no opportunity to resign "from any sense of delicacy" before the term of my membership expired. Then, in the organization of the new Board, about two weeks after my appointment as a reviser, I was reappointed a member of the Committee on Versions; as also in October, 1853, and in October, 1854, being thus three times successively reappointed on that Committee by the Board after my first appointment as a reviser. Whenever anything came before the Committee in relation to myself I always withdrew unless requested by the chairman to remain. I was, however, accustomed to exercise my own judgment, with all due deference to the opinions of others, in every important matter before the Committee, and that my "presence embarrassed its members more than once in their deliberations," I have no doubt. As for declaring that I "would not be put on a level with other revisers," I have no recollection of using any such language, nor would my feelings allow me to use such an expression, without making some honorable exceptions. But if I could without conceit regard myself on a level with the lowest, I am sure that I should never attempt to translate the word of God.

It may be proper for me to say, in a word, that, while I was aggrieved by the change made in the Committee, *on account of the real ground on which it was made*, I have never felt any hostility to Dr. ARMITAGE, nor to any other persons engaged in making it.

On another point, referring to me, they say:

"He issued a circular against the Committee, and the plans approved by the Board and the Union, and sent it to the enemies of the Union, while he was still a member of the Board."

The case stands thus: From recent transactions the question arose whether, in carrying out the plan of the Union for the revision of the New Testament, the judgment of a Committee on Versions could be properly interposed to prevent the work of any reviser from being sent to the other revisers for their examination and criticisms, and as a consequence prevent the author from re-revising his work, with the aid of such criticisms, before it is submitted to the final Committee for adjudication. While this question was under consideration in the Committee of Investigation, before any action of the Board on the subject, Mr. WYCKOFF published a statement in the New-York *Chronicle* on the affirmative of this question, alleging a modification of the plan. Deeming it wrong that public sentiment and the action of the Board should be thus forestalled, I prepared a brief statement of the original plan, concluding as follows:

"Now, although the alleged modification of this plan has awakened some fearful forebodings, yet, it is to be hoped that the views of the President will prevail, and that the plan, as set forth by brethren COZZ, COLGATE and WYCKOFF, in 1853, will be fully and faithfully carried out. Let every friend of pure versions pray for us, that the God of all grace may direct our steps, and lead us on to complete success in this glorious enterprise."

This was sent to the *Chronicle*, but Dr. CHURCH, the editor, after consulting with Mr. WYCKOFF, refused to publish it, and put it into the hands of Mr. BUCKBEE. It was afterwards printed and sent as a circular to the readers of the *Chronicle*, to all of whom, whether friends or "enemies of the Union," the statement of Mr. WYCKOFF had been previously sent. The circular contains nothing against the Committee, the Board, or the Union.

On this subject the officers add:

"So erroneous and pernicious was the influence of this circular, and the private letters which Dr. JUDD wrote, that even the Board of the Revision Association, at Louisville, Kentucky, was induced to withhold any further appropriations for the revision of the English Scriptures."

Now, the action of the Louisville Board had no immediate connection with my circular, nor with any private letters from me. The circular was published in April. The action of the Board was taken in June, a few days after my dismissal as a reviser, by which it was induced, as I will show. Before I had communicated the event to any one by letter, I received from Louisville, Kentucky, under date of June 10, the following dispatch by telegraph:

"The Managers of the Revision Association, now in session, have your case in hands; for the sake of the momentous interests involved, we pray you to wait any public action, till you hear from us faithfully.

"T. S. BELL,
Chairman of the Board of Managers."

Soon after the following communication came to hand through the mail:

"DEAR BROTHER JUDD:—The Revision Association have heard, with the profoundest regret, of your dismissal from the Board of Revisers. We recognize your long-continued, earnest and useful labors in the cause of pure versions; and it would pain us to think that you were withdrawn from this noble enterprise. May we not indulge the hope that you will bear the matter patiently and not endanger the interests of the great enterprise by your views of personal wrongs. We are the more emboldened to thus address you, from the fact that we have this day appealed to the Bible Union in your behalf, and we ask you to examine that document, and then permit us to hear from you. In one hope that all things without temperance good, and that your happiness and peace will be largely increased, the Revision Association subscribe themselves your brothers in the Lord, most affectionately,

"D. H. CAMPBELL,
T. S. BELL,
[illegible]
W. W. HYMAN.

"BIBLE REVISION ROOMS,
LOUISVILLE, June 10, 1856."

When the appeal above referred to reached New-York, a deputation was immediately dispatched to Louisville, of which the [illegible] says:

"This deputation on their part [illegible] for the President and the Chairman of the Committee on the part of

against, while the majority [illegible] the principle. These doctrines [illegible] to them; [illegible] and refuted. Even [illegible] upon which all may [illegible] and the very essential doctrines of which our institutions are founded. It is, then, this great underlying principle of the potency of popular will which I invoke. It is equally true, whether applied to a small community or a large one, provided the expression ought to be [illegible] within the range of [illegible]. Thus it is, there is often difficulty in deciding whether the popular will has really been ascertained; and, again, its expression may sometimes trench upon rights of associated communities bound in one governmental compact; and for these reasons the principle may become susceptible in given cases; but no man will be bold enough to flatly deny the principle. Nor can he sustain himself in setting up cobwebs of forms against its sweeping power.

In all governmental and political questions, where this principle or its fair analogies arise, it is the business of statesmen and patriots, of legislators holding true and enlarged views, to avow it, to respect it, to act upon it, and to perpetuate it. And he is but little attentive to the guards which should be constantly thrown around our institutions, and little solicitous for their permanency, who would, in a fair case for its exercise, evade by a quibble the great principle which pervades and sustains them. At every occasion on which the popular will is defeated, our Government receives a shock, slight or violent, as the case may be, and the respect and confidence of the masses in its justice and perfection are more or less shaken.

The old doctrine that Government is a contract between the people and their rulers, although good enough for the Liberals of England, who upon their decrepit throne their monarchs, has been long ago exploded. And yet there are men who, although they will not avow it, make it unconsciously, perhaps, their rule of action. They have a horror of popular uprising, and a kind of pertinacious and superstitious instinct of adherence to established forms in preference to principles. They have a sort of vague and undefined fear that the line will not be properly drawn between a true, popular governmental movement, and a pandemonium of stormy anarchy and unlicensed violence, forgetting that the people, sharing the same fears and instincts as themselves, "are more disposed to suffer while evils are sufferable than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed." Men and statesmen, therefore, who lean to the suppression of the popular will because it does not in all respects conform to the technicalities of form, are clearly looking in the wrong direction, apprehensive of evils which are only imaginary, and aggravating evils which really exist.

I grant that every tumultuous and ephemeral assemblage of numbers, bearing no proper proportion to the whole of a "political people," dictated by sudden excitement, conducted without order, its participators not individualized, their will imperfectly ascertained, and their numbers too small or unascertainable, is not to be regarded. But when the process of expressing popular will is general and deliberate, when it is *directed to a legitimate* object, and all proper precautions are adopted to confine its action to the true "political people," to individualize the participators, to define their numbers, to exhibit clearly the object and result of their action, to pursue commendable forms, even if originated by themselves, especially when analogous to those generally received and adopted by public sentiment and legal provision, and when every step is marked by calmness and good order, it presents a case which no republican statesman should disregard, and which cannot be disregarded without running counter to all the applicable authorities, doing violence to the genius and fundamental principles of our institutions, and going, at least to some extent, towards sustaining the monarchical doctrine that a Republic is impracticable, and that the public are less to be trusted than is the wisdom of those who at the time happen to be in office.

It will not do, in this country, to repudiate and reject a true and well defined expression of popular will in a legitimate case, only upon the argument that it wants the previous permission of law, and that if respected, all future false and pretended movements of the same kind must also be allowed. The presence of a previous law, or the want of it, may exist alike in the one class or the other, and is not the distinction between them. Other distinctions must be looked for, such as I have already indicated, and the only safe rule for the statesman to adopt, is to respect and enforce the expression of popular will, whenever, in view of these distinctions, the conviction is brought home to him that such expression in truth and in fact, is the popular will and not a counterfeit.

There may be exceptions to this rule, where the tribunal which is to judge and decide has its powers limited by constitutional provisions, but with that, in this case, we have nothing to do. We have to deal now with a case which appeals solely to the conscience and discretion of this House. Nor is it necessary to say what ought to be done, in a case where a true expression of popular will comes in conflict with minority action, sanctioned by the forms of law—for it may be there assumed that there has been no legal election. There is no such conflict here between abstract right and technical right, but the only question is whether the seat shall be filled upon popular informal action, or shall remain vacant. It is a case in which no constitutional provision, and no legal enactment, *prohibit* filling the seat in that mode and on that basis. It is a question which concerns this House alone, and can affect no other branch or department of the Government, in which there is a clear field to exercise the largest discretion.

I believe that if a Congressional District should by some accident find itself without an election law, and a member should be sent here by the spontaneous movement of the people, acting through such forms of their own and in such manner, as to convince the House that he was selected by a majority of the "political people" of the district, being the sole constitutional judges of the qualifications, the returns, and the elections of its members, they would not only be justified, but in duty bound to receive him, were it not that their power in that respect is limited by a special provision of the Constitution. A member of the House of Representatives is a voting legislator of the nation, holding an office created by the Constitution; and as his vote may affect other States, the Constitution takes care to provide that he shall be elected in pursuance of a *law of his State*; and by reason of this provision, which is above the power of his Congressional District or of this House, he would be rejected.

But with a Territorial Delegate the case is radically different. He is unknown to the Constitution, and has no vote in legislation. He is here by courtesy as a mere agent of the Territory, to inform the House of the wants and condition of his people. It is a matter for the decision of the House exclusively; and they can, if they see proper, admit one, two or more Delegates from a Territory, with or without law, and without the consent of any other branch of the Government. To grant a seat on this floor, or the right to speak without voting, is their exclusive privilege, and has no limit but their own discretion. True, there is a provision of law, that the Territories shall have Delegates on this floor; but does any one doubt that, with the consent of the House, they could have come here without such law? The only effect of the law is, that, by it, the Territories have the *right* to send at least one Delegate; while, before, they were dependent on the pleasure of the House alone.

Having thus shown that this is an appropriate case to be controlled by the informal expression of the popular will; that the election of Oct. 9 was not in conflict with, or violative of any law, but at most only in the absence of law; that it was not even in the absence of law as respects the right to elect a Delegate, and most of the forms of proceeding at the election districts were the same as had already been created by law; that the qualifications of voters were the same as Congress had prescribed for the preceding election; that the forms of oath and return and the mode of election were the same as had been prescribed and acted on at the previous election, under the authority of the organic act; that the whole proceeding was conducted with form and order; that its opponents can show no fraud or unfairness; and that all this was necessary to prevent the great and cherished right of representation from being suspended or destroyed—is there a substantial objection left, or can the House refuse to sanction it? Let me ask, had Congress deemed it proper to insert in the organic act a direction for the second election, in the event that the Legislature should fail to provide for it, would they not have been satisfied with the forms which surround this one? Would they not have been content to say that such election should be conducted in the same manner as the first? And if so, will they refuse to sanction subsequently the same thing which they would have enjoined, and directed, previously, if they could have foreseen the necessity, when there is no Constitution and no law to restrain or to limit their power to sanction? If this course be adopted, it will be idle ever to make a case that can be reached by technicalities, especially as the political and governmental truth which has been proved and demonstrated by lawyers, Judges, statesmen, conquerors and statesmen, and which no man will deny flatly and openly. It will be an error, to declare that old and venerated principle, so full of justice and sagacity, must be forbore to recognize it, unless clothed at every point with legal technicalities and forms, which, at best, are but its own creatures. It will be to allow popular will to be outweighed by an empty technicality, in the scales of conscience and wide discretion alone.

To allow substantial merit to be submerged by mere technicalities, and only because they are technicalities, may do for a court tied down by stringent rules; and even there the doctrine is odious. But when they conflict with great fundamental and political truths, and the vital and cherished right of representation in this popular Legislature of a great and liberal Republic, upon a question, too, where justice, truth, conscience, and the doctrines of republicanism, it would be narrow pretext, indeed, for a statesman to stand upon.

Thus far I have discussed the question upon principle alone, and in that way I prefer to meet it. But as many men choose to rest upon precedents, rather than upon the principles that make precedents, I will accommodate them also with precedent, and authority directly to the point, and which cannot be otherwise than satisfactory. I will exhibit cases where this House has sanctioned popular movements without the forms of law; where the Senate has done the same thing; and where both Houses have done it, by law, in the most solemn and emphatic manner, by rejecting an election held under the forms of law, to adopt one held without them, and liable to greater objections than the case we now present. When I have done this I have a right to expect that the last objection will disappear.

It will be seen that in all the acts of Congress for the admission of new States, the preamble recites that the people have formed for themselves a Constitution and State Government. As a number of them formed their Government without any law at all to authorize it, and its whole vitality came from the primary movements of the people, the act of admission operated as a direct sanction of these informal popular movements; and in reciting them by preambles, no distinction is made between those formed under a law and those formed without law. Some were formed under a law of the Territorial Legislature, but it is easy to show that such law is equivalent to no law at all, and absolutely void. In the debate on the admission of Michigan, Mr. BUCHANAN says:

"The precedent of the case of Tennessee had silenced all objection that a previous act of Congress was necessary."

And again:

"The passage of the previous enabling act of Congress was not required by principle or precedent."

In the debate on the admission of California, Gen. CASS says:

"I maintain that all communities have a right to organize themselves, and provide for social order, who much order cannot otherwise be obtained."

And in allusion to the objection that the election of delegates took place under a voluntary movement of the people, he says:

"This objection cannot be sustained in this age of the world, before the people of this country, and, I may add, before the people of Christendom."

Mr. CLAY says:

"When a Constitution has been formed, with some degree of irregularity, as in the case of California, you must consider of these irregularities, and determine as statesmen, looking to the great objects and the accomplishment of a great purpose. * * * * * And you are not to be led off by mere technicalities."

Much more might be said on the subject of these admissions of new States, but with a singular reference to Wisconsin I will pass on to other subjects of more direct bearing; and in regard to Wisconsin I only desire to say that, although in all the previous enabling acts, for other States, Congress had provided all the forms and machinery, for apportioning delegates, conducting and returning elections, times, places and qualifications of voters, yet in the case of Wisconsin the naked privilege to form a constitution was conferred, and all the details mentioned in the other acts were here left to the people.

But we will pass on to another class of cases than the admission of States. On the 27th of January, 1837, Hon. ISAAC E. CRARY claimed a seat in this House as a member from the State of Michigan. The State had been admitted only the day before, and yet his credentials disclosed the fact he had been elected in October, 1836. There had been no law authorizing his election, or any other proceeding previous thereto. The whole was nothing more or less than a voluntary movement of the people, with no vitality of force except that derived from the popular will. True, there had been an act of the Territorial Legislature; but that, as I will now show, was an absolute nullity, and could impart no more legality than the recommendation of a wayfarer. It was not within the circle of their powers, which, as in all Territories, were confined to the administration of the Territorial Government. Among the enumerated powers conferred by Congress, there was no authority to organize a State. They could neither give permission to the people nor withhold it; and any act they might presume to pass upon the subject was futile, impotent and void, and could neither weaken nor strengthen the popular action. If the latter was valid, it was so without the action of the Legislature; and if void in itself, that act could give it no aid. This is clear upon principle; but as time does not serve to dilate further upon it, I will content myself by citing some authorities.

Hon. B. F. BUTLER, Attorney-General of the United States, in an elaborate opinion upon the point, given to the President in the case of the Territory of Arkansas, after a full and lucid argument, says:

"To suppose that the legislative powers granted to the General Assembly included the power to abrogate, alter or modify the Territorial Government established by the act of Congress, and of which the Assembly is a constituent part, would be manifestly absurd.

It is not in the power of the General Assembly of Arkansas to pass any law for the purpose of electing members to a Convention to form a Constitution and State Government, or to do any other act, directly or indirectly, to create such new Government. Every such law, though approved by the Governor of the Territory, would be null and void; if passed by them, notwithstanding his veto, it would still be equally void."

Only a few days before Mr. CRARY presented himself in the House, Mr. BUCHANAN, in the Senate, during the debate on the admission of Michigan, which he favored and voted for, says:

"No Senator will pretend that the Territorial Legislature have any right whatever to pass laws enabling the people to elect delegates to a Convention for the purpose of forming a State Constitution. It was an act of usurpation on their part."

Both these gentlemen, while declaring the action of the Legislature absolutely void, admitted the power to be in the people. Not only was Mr. BUCHANAN's confident challenge of denial unanswered by any Senator, but at no time in the Senate or the House, so far as my researches have gone, has this doctrine of the utter inefficiency of such action by the Territorial Legislature ever been contradicted; and I may add, it never successfully can be. Mr. CRARY's whole title, then, rested upon the voluntary informal movement of the people, sustained by no law. But there is another singular piece of evidence to clinch this, if necessary; one year before, a petition had been presented to the House, from the Legislature elected under the same popular authority, and at the same time as Mr. CRARY and the House had referred it, with a declaration embodied in the resolution, that they *"regard the same in no other light than as the voluntary act of private individuals."* And yet, with this express declaration put upon their own record by themselves, in the strongest language, that Mr. CRARY's election was informal, and without any kind of legal authority, he was, after a short debate, admitted and sworn. And this, it will be remembered, was the case of a voting, constitutional member; not a mere Territorial Delegate; and Mr. CRARY presented no poll-books or election returns as evidence, but only the certificate of the Governor, whose election was no more formal than his own.

In 1804 JOHN HOGE claimed a seat in this House as a Representative from the State of Pennsylvania, to fill a vacancy. It was objected that his election was illegal, because the fourth section of the first article of the Constitution provided, "that the times, places, and manner of electing Senators and Representatives shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof;" and he Legislature having failed to provide for special elections to fill vacancies, the Governor had assumed in his proclamation to fix the time, place, and manner, himself. True, it was falsely contended by one or two members, that because the second section provided, that "when vacancies happened in the representation from any State, the Executive authority thereof shall issue writs of election to fill such vacancies," he should have, by implication, the power to fix the time, place and manner. But as this power could only be by forced application, and was not at all necessary to the performance of the mere duty of ordering the election, and on the argument it would seem to bring an unnecessary and hostile construction of a provision of constitutional authority away, an express and unqualified power, granted by a subsequent section, it was but faintly pressed and successfully refuted. It is plain, from an examination of the case, that the objection was strong and yet the House, although the notice of the election was a very short, and the poll a very small one, by a vote of sixty-nine to thirty-eight admitted Mr. HOGE to his seat. This was an unequivocal indorsement of the popular action, as the Governor had not power to prescribe the form, and the Legislature, who alone had the power, had failed to exercise it.

The next case I shall cite derives additional interest from the fact that it was the commencement of the political career of a man who made his mark upon his age, his country and the world—the combined elements of whose character have rooted the memory of his brilliant and extraordinary achievements deep in the hearts of our people—have contributed in a wonderful degree to the glory of our country, and elevated his own fame to a dizzy and overtowering height. On the 5th of December, 1796, ANDREW JACKSON, then but 27 years of age, and comparatively unknown as a public man, presented himself in this House as the first member from Tennessee, claimed his seat, was admitted and sworn. His election had no formalities and no law to sustain it save the omnipotent and venerated law of the popular will. The Territorial Governor and Legislature, understanding too well the extent of their powers to dictate by a positive law that popular action in which the whole power rested, had ventured only to "*recommend*" to the people to make and organize a State Government; and the people, without any law of Congress, had made their Government and elected their officers. WILLIAM BLOUNT and WILLIE BLOUNT participated in this movement, and General WASHINGTON indorsed it. Fortunate was it for them and the country, Sir, that the nation was then in its primitive ignorance and its crude simplicity; fortunate that the constitutional expounders, legal and political luminaries, and the profound statesmen of 1856, were then unborn; fortunate that we had then only such small lights as JEFFERSON, ADAMS, FRANKLIN, MADISON, PICKERING, RANDOLPH, JAY, HAMILTON, and MARSHALL, else General WASHINGTON or General JACKSON, like my unfortunate constituents, would have been arraigned before the country for public illegality, revolutionary disorder, and incipient treason. Happy country! where the homely candles of revolutionary days have been succeeded by the gas-lights of modern improvement!

Although it is scarcely possible that this House will ever again have the opportunity to usher upon the public arena a counterpart of the first member from Tennessee, yet surely the national story and greatness of the political life which dated from the adoption then of the rule which I invoke now, ought to allay any fears of its imaginary evil tendencies.

But it will pass on from what, under the authority of the present Administration, must be stigmatized as the dark ages of constitutional law, and come down to the times of political enlightenment. In 1848 the Territory of Wisconsin, having formed a State Government, was admitted by Congress into the Union. The boundaries of the new State, not being identical with those of the Territory, excluded a population of about four thousand persons between the St. Croix and Mississippi rivers, who were thus left for the time uncared for, and without a government. General DODGE, the last Governor of the Territory, had taken his seat in the Senate, and the Territorial Government was dissolved and discontinued. Mr. CATLIN, the former Secretary of the Territory, left the new State, and joining the excluded inhabitants claimed to be acting Governor, and issued his proclamation for a special election of a delegate to Congress. HENRY H. SIBLEY was elected, and upon Secretary CATLIN's certificate, was admitted to his seat by a vote of 124 to 62—three members now on this floor voting in the affirmative. Gentlemen who contend that this election possessed legal formalities must contend not only that the Territorial laws remained in force in the St. Croix country, but that the offices still survived, and the entire political organization called the Territory of Wisconsin, which had been converted into a State and extinguished, still existed in all its validity in the fragment of country which had been excluded. That the laws did survive, dormant for the want of any one to administer them, may very probably be the case; but that the offices and political organization of the Territory were entirely gone and destroyed, is perfectly plain. Mr. CATLIN was reduced to a private individual, and his proclamation ordering an election was unauthorized and void. The election derived all its virtue from the act of the people alone, and this was properly considered sufficient to admit the Delegate to his seat.

The next case I will cite was one in which there was a spirited debate. The day after the admission of California, Messrs. WRIGHT and GILBERT presented themselves here as members. The informal character of all the proceedings in California is so well known, that I will not consume time in referring to them. Mr. VENABLE, of North Carolina, objected on the ground that the Constitution of the United States required the time, place, and manner of election for members to be fixed by law, and that no man can be the representative from a State unless elected in pursuance of a law passed by the Legislature, and that such law is part of his constitutional title. He showed, by the certificates of election and the schedule, that on the day when these gentlemen were elected, there was no Constitution, no law, and no Legislature; that by the Constitution of the United States the qualifications of voters for members are relatively fixed, being such as vote for the most numerous branch of the State Legislature, and these qualifications had not then been fixed. These objections were true in fact, and undenied; it was plain that the election had taken place without a government, and without law, and without authority. Mr. ROBINSON, of Indiana, stated that in all the new States, except Missouri and Texas, representatives had been elected and admitted without any previous law passed by the Legislature, which assertion was not denied. It is no answer to say that the admission of the State by Congress operated retrospectively to validate that election, for it did not necessarily do any such thing; and even if the law of admission had ratified them by an express declaration, it would have been null and void, the House being the constitutional and exclusive judge of the validity of the elections. And I may add that, had the ratification been express and valid and binding on the House, it does not affect the question, as a ratification of the question of these irregular elections equally serves my argument, whether made by the Senate and House, or by the House alone. They were admitted by a vote of 109 to 59, (two members of this House voting Aye,) and their credentials were referred to the Committee of Elections, who never reported, and they were allowed to retain their seats.

I will refer but to one more case in the House, which is a peculiar one. In 1806, the Territory of Indiana being in full operation under the organic act, the Governor dissolved the Legislature, as he had a right by law to do. On the 3d of February, 1809, the Territory of Illinois was cut off, and five members of the Legislature of Indiana were included in the new Territory; thus working the official destruction of the Legislature. On the 27th February, 1809, an act of Congress was passed, upon the mistaken assumption that there was a Legislature in existence in Indiana, which act provided for an apportionment, by the supposed Legislature, to be followed by an election for representatives under said apportionment, and directing that, when the people shall so elect representatives, they shall also elect a Delegate to Congress. For want of a Legislature to make this apportionment, and to fix the time, places and forms, the act of Congress failed, and no such election could be held as Congress had contemplated. The Governor, rather than the Territory should lose its representative, assumed the authority to order an election on a day and in a manner fixed by himself, and gave the Delegate elect a certificate. How remarkably and strikingly curious is the resemblance between that case and the one now before the House! Then, as now, Congress had made all the forms, time, and manner, to depend on the action of the Territorial Legislature. Then, as now, that legislative action had failed, and nothing was left but the naked right of representation, devoid of all machinery to develop it. Then, the Governor, taking the precise view of the case as the people of Kansas now, deemed it better to assume the authority (subject to the sanction of this House) to supply the forms, than that the right of representation should perish or be suspended. The only points of difference are, that in the present case the people fixed the day and forms of election, instead of the Federal appointee, and that we produce the full returns of the different polls, with all the election papers, instead of the mere certificate of the result, in both of which it is clear that our case is the stronger.

The Committee of Elections reported:

"That the election held on the 3rd of May, 1809, for Delegate to Congress from Indiana Territory, being without authority of law, is void, and consequently the seat of JONATHAN JENNINGS, as Delegate from that Territory, is hereby declared vacant."

In the House the question was taken, and the votes were 84 in the affirmative and 15 in the negative; so the seat was lost; and upon the question of the right to concur in the Senate resolution, the vote was: Yeas, 80, Nays, 67. Thus the House not only directly declared that the election was without authority of law, and thus sanctioned it by a vote of nearly three to one, but Mr. JENNINGS, of course, resigned his seat.

I will occupy no more time by citing any more cases of the action of the House, as it could do its necessary, but will next examine the precedents of the Senate.

From the mode in which Senators are elected, we cannot expect to find the question arise in that body, except in the case of the new States; and I might cite numbers of such cases, where the Senators admitted were elected by Legislatures who derived their whole authority from mere popular elections unauthorized by law. I will, however, refer briefly to two cases.

When the California Senators presented themselves it was objected that they had been elected, not by the Legislature of a State, but by a so-called Legislature of an irregular, unauthorized Government. They were admitted, notwithstanding, by a vote of 36 to 12; Messrs. Case, Clay, Dickinson, Douglas, Foote of Mississippi, Seward, Badger, Bell, Dawson, Shields, Bright, Dodge, Downs, King, Mangum, Underwood, and others voting in the affirmative.

On the 26th of January, 1837, the Senators from Michigan presented themselves. The State had been admitted on the same day of their presentation, and they had been elected on the 10th of November, 1836. Not only did the Legislature derive all their authority from an informal irregular movement of the people, but the Senate had already, in disposing of a petition from the same Legislature, presented in January, 1836, declared in their resolution of reference, that they regarded "it in no other light than as the voluntary act of individuals;" the *language being the same as that which I have already* quoted from the resolution of the House. Thus we find that the Senate, in the exercise of their constitutional duty of deciding on the election and qualifications of members of their own body, instead of sending the election back to what was at *that time* the Legislature of a State, actually sanctioned their election, though made by a body whom they had declared to have no more legal authority at the time of the election than private individuals. This could have been on no other ground than that they represented the popular will, and for that all-potent reason, legal forms could be dispensed with.

I will now cite the last case to which I intend to recur. It is a strong and striking case, sanctioning a purely popular movement, without any pretence of legal authority, and subversive of a proceeding which had been surrounded by all the forms of law. The sanction is most positive and express, not by one House alone, but by a law of Congress, which still stands upon the statute-book. The case has a singular analogy, too, to the case now before the House. On the 15th of June, 1836, Congress passed an act providing for the admission of Michigan with boundaries different from those which the Convention, in the adoption of their Constitution, had prescribed, and made the admission to depend upon the contingency that such altered boundaries "shall receive the assent of a Convention of Delegates elected by the people for that sole purpose." At that time the State Government of Michigan had been in full operation for some time, although unrecognised by Congress. It had passed State laws, opened its Courts, and ousted the Territorial Government. They proceeded at once to take cognizance of this act of Congress, and passed a law providing for the election of delegates to a Convention, to decide upon accepting the boundaries as proposed by Congress. The delegates were elected under this law, and met in Convention in September, 1836, formally refused to accept them, and communicated their refusal to the President. Almost immediately thereafter, the people themselves, without any authority of law, and acting through their primary assemblies, called a second election for delegates, making their own apportionment, their own forms and election machinery; and the delegates thus elected met in Convention on Dec. 14, 1836, and accepted the boundaries, communicating also their action to the President. The private history of this transaction declares that the latter movement was a political one, managed by the Democratic Standing Committee of the State, which I believe to be true, although I do not choose to vouch for it. The other facts are unexceptionably correct, and derived from the record.

The votes polled at the first election were nearly six thousand; at the second nearly nine thousand. The apportionment by the people for the second election were seventy-two or upward, as seventy-two met in Convention. The free white males over twenty-one years of age exceeded twenty-two thousand, so that it would appear that the popular Convention was not sustained by a majority of the voters, except upon the principle that those who did not vote were considered as consenting. The Counties of Monroe and Macomb opened no polls for the December Convention. These proceedings came before the Senate, and gave rise to a spirited debate, in which both sides of the question were advocated with great ability and zeal, and no single objection has been raised in the case now before the House which was not then pressed and overruled. To prove this I will as briefly as possible give a few extracts from the debates in the Senate. Mr. MORRIS, of Ohio, contended "that the second Convention was held without any authority of law whatever; that this doctrine does away with all our constitutions and laws, and throws us back on the original elements of society." He designates the second Convention as the mere "physical or numerical power of the people of Michigan, exercised in their primary assemblies without the authority of any law of the State, and not only without the sanction of any constitutional provision, but in direct contravention of Constitution and law, and by mere numerical strength evinced by a plurality of votes." Speaking in reference to the grave and solemn character of the act, he says:

"It is to remain obligatory on the State in all time to come, and which the regular constituted authorities of the State have no power to control, and which the people themselves, according to the provision of their own constitution, can never alter, abridge or amend."

He says, further:

"Let it be constantly borne in mind, that there have been two Conventions held in Michigan for the purpose of considering or assenting to the act of Congress. The Convention that first met was in pursuance of a law of the State, expressly passed for that purpose. It was elected and organized according to the provisions of that law. This Convention dissented from the proposition of Congress. Subsequent to this decision it was that the people met in their primary assemblies, and the result was that another Convention was held."

He further contended that, admitting the insufficiency of the arguments on the point of irregularity, the evidence to support the proceeding "was entirely incomplete and unsatisfactory;" and, alluding to the qualification of voters, and notice of election, the swearing of judges, the collecting returns and counting the votes, and the details of election generally, he said: "All these facts are entirely unknown to the Senate"—that "the Senate was about to establish the truth of a fact about which they knew but little, except from public rumor, paragraphs in newspapers, or the statements and assertions of individuals not under oath."

And when met by the argument, that technical evidence was never required in legislation, and that any kind of information was sufficient which satisfied the mind of a legislator, whether legal evidence or not, he admitted the correctness of the rule generally, but contended that this was an exception, because of the grave character of the proceeding; and that the Senate was acting upon it in a judicial capacity. Another Senator says:

"What evidence had the Senate of the organization of the Convention? or of the organization of the popular assemblies who appointed their Delegates to that Convention? Who they were that met and voted we have no information. Who gave the notice? and for what did the people receive that notice? What evidence that the Convention acted according to law? Were the Delegates sworn? If so, they were extra judicial oaths, and not binding on them. In fact, it was not a proceeding under forms of law; for they were totally disregarded."

Mr. CALHOUN designated the proceeding as "dangerous and revolutionary;" and speaking of the second Convention, contended that "this informal assembly, this caucus, as he might call it," had no right to "supersede the solemn expression of the will of the people of Michigan, given in the formal and constitutional way." And again, "that this assembly had no authority; that it could not supersede the Constitution of Michigan, and could not undo what had been done by the regularly-constituted Convention of the people."

Other extracts might be given to show that all the arguments made in the present case have been made then, but the above are sufficient. Gentlemen may abandon the hope of sustaining our proceeding. Then, as now, it was contended that the proceeding had only the authority of popular primary assemblies, and was irregular and without law; that

UCSF

[illegible] EUROPE.

[illegible] which this morning, at 7 o'clock, as [illegible] expressly for the Royal Mail [illegible] Canada, Captain Judkins, which will leave this port for Liverpool at 9 o'clock to-day. The mail train [illegible] will close in this City at 8 [illegible] this morning. The Times for Europe will publish the latest news received by telegraph and work up to the hour of publication. Copies can be had in wrappers at the counter. Price six cents each.

CAUTION.

[illegible] notified to receive notices that persons [illegible] authorized to collect for the Times receive money [illegible] and give receipts in the name of the [illegible]. We desire to notify the public that we [illegible] and that we are now [illegible] no [illegible] persons desiring to subscribe will result [illegible] to this office.

[illegible] in the Senate yesterday, the House bill providing for running the boundary line of Washington Territory was passed. Mr. Seward [illegible] the petition of Charles Robinson and others, now confined in Kansas under [illegible] of treason, praying for relief. They ask for a change of venue and a trial by the United States Supreme Court. Mr. Seward accompanied his presentation by a few remarks, [illegible] discussion of the Senate at an early day. Mr. Butler made a report from the Judiciary Committee, on the necessity of additional legislation in case of the death or [illegible] of both the President and Vice-President. [illegible] their consideration and passed various River and Harbor improvement bills. [illegible] other passing about half a dozen [illegible] relating to the District of Columbia, went [illegible] of the Whole on the Legislative, Judicial and Executive Appropriation bill. [illegible] moved to strike out the salaries of the Judges and Secretary of Kansas. Mr. [illegible] offered an amendment, that no part [illegible] for the Territory shall be expended [illegible] of those under arrest for treason [illegible] political offences. After some debate [illegible] amendment was rejected, and then Mr. [illegible] was disagreed to. Mr. Dunn [illegible] amendment, which was adopted, that [illegible] of the money should be drawn from [illegible] till a bill be passed and approved [illegible] for the pacification of the Kansas Territory, which shall contain a Slavery restriction regarding Kansas and Nebraska. Another amendment was then added, on motion of Mr. Grow, that no money be paid until [illegible] prosecutions for treason and other [illegible] against the laws of the Shawnee Mission [illegible] be dismissed; after which the [illegible] and mileage of the members of the Legislature, etc., were stricken out.

[illegible] but meagre election returns from Missouri, Kentucky and Iowa. There appears to be no doubt of the election of Fragoso [illegible] to Congress, in Missouri, but [illegible] of the Congressional candidates is uncertain, yet uncertain. Barron has 5,300 majority in St. Louis County. The chances are decidedly in favor of Kentucky having gone for the Democracy, which will not be particularly encouraging for the Fillmore Party. [illegible] the Republicans have carried every [illegible] as far as heard from. It will [illegible] to some days before the full returns from these States reach us. As to Texas and Arkansas there is not much reason for doubting [illegible] have gone Democratic as usual.

[illegible] Pennsylvania American State Convention, which met at Harrisburg yesterday, [illegible] the proposition for forming a fusion [illegible] by a vote of 73 against 18.

[illegible] of Life and Vigor.

[illegible] of the Inquisitive papers of this City exhibit in a piteously lachrymose manner:

"It is a [illegible] fact that the Democratic Party, [illegible] editorials and advertisements, nowhere give [illegible] vigor, and effect to their papers."

[illegible] may be shameful, but then it is very [illegible], although the "Democratic" Party [illegible] evidence of the possession of any [illegible] of good sense in its choice of a [illegible] in the principles embodied in its [illegible] is in not so entirely destitute of [illegible] as to waste its favors on papers that have no life nor vigor but what they derive [illegible] advertisements. Though the "Democrats" may be bad political philosophers, they [illegible] enough in a business operation, and [illegible] where to bestow their advertisements [illegible] subscriptions, if they desire an [illegible] for their money.

Gold at the Bottom.

The [illegible] Pennsylvania, in attempting to [illegible] the name of so great a number of the leading journals of the Free States supporting the Republican candidate for the Presidency, says:

"Let him who is about penetrate this mystery deposit, and he will find GOLD at the bottom of it."

There is many a true word spoke in jest, and this forms of them. There is gold at the bottom of the Republican movement, and he who is about penetrates the mystery deposit will be sure to find it. There is a golden opportunity now presented to the people of these States to preserve the integrity of the Constitution, to strengthen the Union, to repress the [illegible] spirit of sectional agitation which has brought [illegible] of the country to the verge of [illegible] and to restore us to that peaceful [illegible] destroyed by the acts of the [illegible]. These are the golden [illegible] who penetrate the mystery

[illegible] days that preceded Espartero's entry into Madrid in 1854, at which time, in opposition to the Crown, he displayed considerable nerve and decision of character. Bayarde, Minister of Naval Affairs, is a young ex-progressist, and a traitor to the cause of Espartero. He was a Deputy and Secretary of the Assembly. Under the rule of these men, Spain is expected to await the return of absolutism, and whatever other evils are concocting for it in the brains of Maria Christina, Narvaez and their fellow-conspirators. That the Spanish nation are not prepared to sleep tranquilly under the system which the O'Donnell Ministry is preparing, has been shown by the bloody insurrections which have already taken place in Arragon and elsewhere. The popular movement is represented, at the last accounts, to have been checked; but everything betokened the speedy renewal of civil war upon a more extended scale. French troops were collecting on the frontier, and a storm is evidently about breaking over the Spanish Peninsula, the most wished-for result of which will probably be the downfall of a man who has betrayed his party and native land in the manner which has been done by Marshal O'Donnell.

Sweden Since the Peace.

Five years ago, the Court of Stockholm was justly regarded as the most powerless, scarcely excepting that of Constantinople, upon the Continent of Europe. It seemed to have become merely a question of expediency, how and when the absorbing might of the Czars should extend itself, on the North, to the Atlantic. Among the titles which the Emperor of Russia had been accustomed to assume, not the least significant was that of "Heir to Norway;" for, next to the ambition of planting the Russian flag on the shores of the Bosphorus, the successor of Peter the Great had cultivated the desire to extend their dominion over the provinces which constituted the remainder of the once proud Kingdom of Sweden. All this has changed. The peace of Paris gave even less security to the Asiatic frontier of Turkey, than, in connection with the treaty between the Allies and Sweden which preceded it, it has done to the eastern boundary of Finmark. It is not generally appreciated that the two great wants which have hitherto prevented Russia from becoming a naval power of the first rank, are a seafaring population, and open and safe harbors. The Czars had hoped to supply both these deficiencies by the conquest of Finmark, whose harbors, being all the year free from ice and in direct communication with the ocean, could have been turned into excellent stations from which her fleets, now frozen in for so long a portion of every year, could have issued. The shores of Finmark are also inhabited by a body of seamen, who, gaining their livelihood by fishing, and trained to courage and endurance, would prove most efficient sailors for a marine power, and capable of competing with those of any other nation.

Before the conquest of Finland from Sweden, in 1809, the boundary between Russia and Norway was narrow and undefined. The Kings of Norway, while independent, exercised their authority and levied taxes as far as the Kold, and along the White Sea, many hundred miles beyond the present frontier. Under Danish misrule the Russians made many encroachments, until, in 1814, when the independence of Norway was reestablished, and a union with Sweden took place, negotiations were opened with Russia to settle the question of boundaries. By the treaty of 1826 Russia gained nearly a thousand square miles of what had once been owned by Norway. Nevertheless, says a recent Norwegian writer, "There is no doubt that the Russians had no reason, on this occasion, to be pleased with their diplomacy, and they have never, perhaps, more regretted any of their diplomatic achievements; for, by a singular chance, the river Jacob, which was the frontier determined, is just the point of the coast to the east of which it becomes impossible to maintain an active marine throughout the year." West of Jacob's River, the harbors are all opened, while all the bays on the Russian side are encumbered with ice from the middle of October to May or June. Russia, however, determined to make good the error she had committed. Our Norwegian authority, as quoted by the London *Times*, says:

"In 1840, the public attention was drawn to the fact that a number of Russo-Finnish officials were traversing Finmark in all directions, collecting special and detailed information relative to the coasts, the depths of the rivers, and other local circumstances. It was pretended that these journeys were merely pleasure trips; but by the singular accident of the misdirection of a government circular, by which it fell into the hands of a Norwegian official, it was proved that these inspections of the country took place by direct order from the Russian Government. Among the instructions thus brought to light, it was desired that such harbors should be reported upon as were convenient for the accommodation of a fleet, the best tracts for forming military roads, as well as all rivers where crafts could enter, and the purpose of this insulting conduct, which, to a more powerful State, would have led to grave complications, soon showed itself. Russia opened negotiations with the Norwegian Government, and offered to cede a large portion of Territory in the Interior, if the latter would give up a small part lying on the coast of Waranger Fiord, (just sufficient to afford the Russians an open harbor.) These negotiations, however, were suddenly broken off in 1846 or 1847, and the cause remains involved in obscurity. But Russian astuteness soon discovered another plan for pushing its schemes.

By the treaty of 1751, which defines the territorial boundaries between Norway and, at that period, Swedish Finland, it was provided that what had taken place from time immemorial, in consequence of the necessities of the inhabitants, should continue, viz.: that the Norwegian and Finnish Laps should, at certain periods of the year, have the mutual right of passing the frontiers in order to obtain food for her numerous herds of reindeer. This treaty Russia, in 1852, suddenly abrogated, at the same time accompanying it with a declaration that she was prepared, if necessary, to blockade the Norwegian frontiers with a military force. In order to justify this breach of a solemn treaty, which had been concluded 'for all time,' the Russians resorted to the pretext (certainly for the first time heard of in the doctrines of *jus gentium*) that the conquerer of a ceded province is not bound by any of the treaties entered into by the previous Governments, and that, consequently, the treaty of 1751, which had been entered into by the Swedes, was not binding on the Russians."

These measures of innovation would, if carried out, have been as cruel as cunning; amounting virtually to the extirpation of the reindeer and their twelve thousand owners. The denial of the reciprocity would have been as detrimental to the subjects of the Czar as to those of Norway; but the undisguised purpose of Nicholas was to reopen the boundary question, and to secure, by new frauds, the territory which he had failed to acquire upon his advent to the throne. Hence arose the sympathy of the Swedish people for the cause of the Allies. This was the origin of the treaty of Stockholm, preceding that of Paris, by which England and France guaranteed to protect Sweden in the enjoyment of her present boundary, and to aid her in resisting by force any attempt to encroach upon it. Weak Sweden has emerged from her false position of one year ago into an attitude of comparative strength. Stockholm is to be made impregnable; the inhabitants of Finmark have acquired a fresh supply of patriotism from the security afforded them; Bomarsund is no longer an advanced post of attack; and flotillas of gunboats are being constructed, for which Sweden, more easily than Russia, can provide efficient seamen. Louis Napoleon has used his influence, also, to procure for the Court of Stockholm that respect which is due to the greatest natural check upon Russian ambition in the North; so that gratified national pride combines with a materially improved prospect to inspire the Swedish people with hopes for the future. The Swedes have ever been a warlike race; her soldiers are among the best in the world; her seamen are skillful, daring, and accustomed to the Northern seas; and there exists no reason to prevent her taking a prominent rank among nations in their onward course of progress and civilization.

The Bible Union.

The reply of Rev. O. B. Judd to the recent publications of the Officers of the American Bible Union, appears in our columns this morning. It is an able document, meeting boldly and discussing with great freedom the arguments put forth in behalf of the Union. Dr. Judd distinctly disavows the authorship of Dr. Maclay's letter, and in the course of his reply gives the names of persons who have been concerned with him in the work of revision, together with a correspondence which explains his financial relations with the Union. As a part of the history of this important controversy, Dr. Judd's letter will be found full of interest and well worthy attention.

Yachting.

An English gentleman recently arrived in this country, on having the usual question put to him, what he thought of it, said his first thought on coming up our magnificent bay was that it had been created for the express purpose of Yachting. It was a very natural feeling, for probably there is not in the whole world another place so favorably situated for the encouragement of that noble and beneficent sport as New-York. Yet our yachts are not so numerous as they should be, and our yachtsmen form a rather select and spirited than a numerous class. We imagine there has been a rather too exclusive feeling in our Yacht clubs, which, instead of encouraging others to join in the noble pleasure, has tended to render it unpopular. It is very expensive keeping a yacht, it is true, and there are but few, comparatively, whose incomes and occupations permit them to indulge in such a luxury. But the true way to encourage yachting is the Club system; two or three, or half-a-dozen men might, without difficulty, own a yacht in common, and, if sufficient *eclat* were given to the annual regattas, by prizes, balls, and festivals, the various interests of the City might each be represented by their yachts, and a noble strife would be engendered which would have the best effect on the character of our shipping. The era of our clipper-ships may be dated from the achievement of the yacht *America* at Southampton, and it was the modeling of that beautiful pleasure-boat that developed one of the greatest geniuses in naval architecture that we have produced. Yachting is altogether a healthful, manly and noble pastime, which can hardly lead to any of the degrading habits which are too frequently the consequence of horse-racing and other field sports, and we are most happy to find that the number of our yachts is yearly increasing.

On Saturday last the boats of the New-York Yacht Club assembled at Whitestone, near Sand's Point, preparatory to starting for their annual cruise and regatta, which is to take place in the harbor of New-Bedford to-morrow. The squadron consisted of very nearly twenty yachts; some of them were boats of more than a hundred and fifty tons, and of most beautiful models. They were to rendezvous at Newport, where they were to be joined by other yachts, and many more would meet them in New-Bedford. The harbor of that thrifty town will be the scene of a more brilliant display than it has ever exhibited before. New-Bedford is not unaccustomed to fine ships, but such a large squadron of splendid pleasure boats, built for the sole purpose of attaining the greatest possible speed, has never before been seen in the waters of any of our harbors.

A Kind Word for Icebergs.

The philanthropist of Brooklyn Heights, whose tender consideration for all created things is such that he will not even kill a mosquito, has a kind word this morning in vindication of icebergs, which, notwithstanding their propensity to give us the cold shoulder as they pass along our coast, according to Mr. Meriam, are not the cause of our cold Summers. E. M. may be right in his theory; but he may be wrong in assuming that the severe cold of the last winter caused a greater number of icebergs than usual. The truth is, doubtless, that there have been fewer icebergs the present Summer in the Atlantic, owing to the severity of the last Winter. The accumulations of ice in the Arctic regions may require an unusually moderate Winter to loosen a sufficient number of bergs to affect the temperature of our Summer, and that may be the reason why a cold Summer often succeeds a moderate Winter.

Saratoga.

Saratoga is very full just now. All the hotels are crowded and colonizing goes on more briskly than ever it did at a City election. We observe that the Syracuse Convention discharged a large portion of its members at the Springs. Senator Sickles went thither to mesmerize any who might need it with his cool, oily, polished plausibilities, and John Van Buren sought fresh assurance for the coming campaign. Abijah Mann, Jr., is there,—as zealous in the cause of Fremont as he used to be in that of Jackson, and the Radical Democrats, who have just thrown off the yoke, are well represented at Saratoga. Fernando Harper seeks relief from the cares of Chatham-street and Franklin-square. Rev. T. L. Cuyler comes for fresh inspiration for his labors of popular reform, and Rev. Dr. Lipscomb, from Alabama, comes to recruit a frame weary with labors that would crush any man of less ability and elasticity.

The hotels at Saratoga this Summer are all well kept, but Congress Hall carries off the palm, we are inclined to think, for downright comfort, capital attendance and good fare. Many families who have been there through the Summer are arranging for a later stay than usual. After the crowd has dispersed, and the weather has grown endurable, a stay at Saratoga is doubly delightful. The "Dutch" has been completely swamped and is somewhat astonishing. Saratoga never was pleasanter or more crowded than now.

FROM WASHINGTON.

The Pulitzer Speech on the Bill to Remove Duties on Goods Destroyed by Fire in their Original Packages, &c.

Special Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

Washington, Saturday, Aug. 2, 1856.

Hon. Guy R. Phelps, this evening, made a most lawyer-like and conclusive argument in support of the "bill to refund duties on goods destroyed by fire while in their original and unbroken packages as imported." As this subject is one of great interest to your mercantile readers, a liberal synopsis of this speech of the New-York merchants' special representative, so creditable to its author, and so calculated to promote the success of the measure which it explains and supports, cannot fail to be acceptable. Mr. P. began by stating, the bill was originally based upon the application of the merchants of New-York for relief, in consequence of the great fire which occurred in that City on the 19th July, 1845. That bills for that purpose have been reported by both Senate and House every session from that time until the present; that a bill had once passed the House, but failed of concurrent action in the Senate for want of time only,—and that bills have, in different forms, passed the Senate several times for the same object, and for two or three sessions by a unanimous vote, but failed from the pressure of other business to pass the House.

Early in the present session, the bill now before the House passed the Senate again, unanimously; was reported to the House and referred to the Committee on Commerce, and by that Committee, without any dissent, had been reported back with a recommendation that it pass. Being the only member of that Committee from the State of New-York, the duty necessarily devolved upon him, (Mr. Phelps,) as a representative of the City of New-York, of taking charge of the bill in the House, which he the more cheerfully should do because it was a matter of legitimate legislation and of much importance to a portion of the merchants of the City of New-York, whom he, in part, represents. He had sought the opportunity to address the House that it might become possessed of the real facts and merits of the question, substantiated by the best proof attainable from official and other reliable sources. His was an appeal, not to the country, but to the judgment of the House, to determine whether the principle involved is founded in sound policy and substantial justice, both as it affects the Government and the mercantile community.

He could, he believed, most successfully relieve the measure from gross and exaggerated misstatements which have been put forth by parties who hoped thus to coerce a compliance with their demands. The principle of the bill, viz.: that of returning or refunding the Custom duties collected on foreign merchandise which does not enter into the consumption of the country, was not a new principle sought to be engrafted upon the permanent policy of the country. It had been recognized by both general and special legislation from the very commencement of our revenue system, and from the organization of the Government Congress had by repeated enactments for relief affirmed its justice.

The policy was doubtless based upon the supposition that the destruction of the imports thus remitted upon would create the necessity for a new importation upon which the Government would collect duties anew. It surely never had been the policy of the Government to speculate upon the misfortunes of its merchants and its citizens. Congress, in 1790, within twelve months after the passage of the act levying Customs duties, passed a bill remitting duties on goods destroyed by fire on their passage from New-York to Hudson, after duties had been paid upon them.

Another act was passed on the 4th of August following, refunding duties upon a large quantity of salt which had been landed and stored at Annapolis, and casually destroyed. Another act was passed in 1794, remitting duties on goods imported into Norfolk, and subsequently sent to Baltimore, where they were destroyed. Again, in 1801, an act was passed refunding duties on two cargoes of tea which were destroyed by fire at Providence.

These cases, together with several others, went much further in their application of the principle than does the one before the House, inasmuch as the losses in those cases could have been provided against by the exercise of ordinary care and diligence. Numerous other acts of the same character were passed from 1801 to 1838. Again in 1842, 1850, '52 and '54; and there was nowhere to be found, in the whole legislation, that has been had upon the subject, a case conflicting with the principle of the bill before the House, or a single application for remission of duties or imports destroyed that has been rejected when the goods destroyed were in their original packages as imported, and where the circumstances of the loss were such as not to excite suspicion of fraud. Prior to the act of 1854 all legislation on this subject was special. On the 28th of March, 1854, Congress passed a general law conferring upon the Secretary of the Treasury full power to remit duties on all goods thereafter destroyed and damaged while in bond—the cause of the destruction being immaterial. That law was retroactive in its effects to the date of our present warehousing system, (1846.) It conferred entire discretionary power, and the sum of $157,214 40 has been returned to claimants in consequence of casualties which occurred prior to its enactment.

In no country are duties levied and retained unless the merchandise upon which the duties are levied are consumed by its citizens; and it is the uniform practice of Great Britain, France and Russia, to return duties on imports not consumed, and our own citizens have in repeated instances received full benefit from their just and liberal policy. In addition to the precedents established over and over again by Congress, and by all commercial nations, we have the authority and decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, establishing not only the justice and equity, but affirming that such is the legal principle governing our tariff laws, and that we have no *right* to tax foreign merchandise which does not enter into the consumption of the country.

The Act establishing warehouses was passed in 1846. Prior to that date, importers were compelled to take imports in their own possession as soon as they were entered. *The Act of 1854 authorized* the Secretary of the Treasury to refund or abate duties on all goods for all future time, when the goods are destroyed while in bond. Its operation extended back to '48, and the whole amount paid under it was $143,046 59 only. But in its application to wines and liquors, it went back to 1798, and thus afforded a special relief to one class of importers upon their property destroyed, under which there has been actually remitted the sum of $109,967 52. Surely there should be no discrimination between duties upon dry goods and liquors. Such was not the intention of Congress, clearly, but from the phraseology of the law, such a construction has been placed upon it.

The consent that would be called for under this bill had been the subject of the grossest misrepresentations. Interested persons had circulated the report that from $5,000,000 to $25,000,000 would be required. Mr. P. gave it as his conscientious belief when the bill was reported that the entire amount would not exceed from $300,000 to $500,000, and he was now prepared to prove the accuracy of the statement. By the fire of 1835 about $15,000,000 of property was destroyed, and while the act of 1835 for remission of duties was pending before the Senate, Silas Wright stated that the amount claimed to be refunded was upwards of [illegible] [illegible] $177,[illegible] [illegible] whole amount of property destroyed by the fire of [illegible] claimed for remission [illegible] was $100,267 52 had been paid already under the General Warehousing act of '54. There cannot be now more than from $150,000 to $200,000 yet to be drawn from the Treasury by the fire. The amount claimed is certified by the claimants themselves and substantiated by documents and reports made by the Chambers of Commerce since the bill was reported.

As to San Francisco, the Committee had been unable to ascertain as conclusively in regard to the amount involved as in New-York. An approximate amount can be found from [illegible] Goods imported into that port were [illegible] resold, broken up and scattered through the [illegible]. It was an unusual thing for cargoes of [illegible] to remain in store in their unbroken packages, in that period, for a single month; they usually passed into the hands of smaller dealers within a few days. There were two fires, in consequence of which resolutions of duties are claimed to have been destroyed, viz.: in 1849 and 1851. The whole amount of duties collected for the two years ending 30th of June, 1855, was $1,[illegible] [illegible] the most reliable information that can be obtained not exceeding $100,000 can be proven to have been destroyed in the original packages.

Charges have been made that the bill before the House opens the door to fraud, and that claimants will take advantage of it to defraud the Government. This Mr. Phelps denounced as a great aspersion upon the petitioners, and upon one entire class of our citizens—the merchants of our country—and made in utter ignorance of the operation of past and similar legislation upon this same general subject, and without a careful examination of the bill itself. The petitioners do not come as applicants for Governmental favor. They do not ask for the adoption of any new line of policy that will operate for their exclusive benefit; and they do not desire to take one dollar as a mere gratuity from the public treasury. They come only to the merchants of New-York he spoke from personal knowledge—high-toned and honorable men, who ask only a measure of just relief, after having had their fortunes swept away and overwhelmed by a calamity that no wise foresight could have foreseen or caution have prevented, and they ask it because the Government has by its own repeatedly said that it is not its policy to tax foreign commodities which do not enter into the consumption of the country.

Mr. P. said it was his proud privilege to represent a portion of the great commercial metropolis of America—the City of New-York—where more than within the last two years had poured into the public treasury ever ninety millions of dollars. They furnish the revenue of the Government. The onerous exactions for the support of that Government fall directly and heavily upon them, yet they submit cheerfully, without murmuring or even complaint, as a free-sitting tribute. It was foreign to his purpose, perhaps, to enter into a eulogy upon their merits or upon their enterprise. The merchants of New-York required no panegyric at the hands of their representatives. The commercial and statistical records, the great avenues of wealth which they have opened, and resources of the country which they have developed and rendered tributary to our national greatness, were the most powerful and [illegible] orators in their behalf. Their [illegible] laden with the rich contributions of [illegible] were borne upon the waters of every [illegible] and the monuments of their industry and [illegible] were reared everywhere.

Up to 1846, duties had to be paid previously, and the goods taken from the custody of the Government; but the merchant was allowed to retrospect them, and when reexported, provided they were retained in their original condition as imported, he obtained a return of the duties so paid. This was called the drawback or debenture system. Thus the claimants under this bill were required to take their goods into their custody and pay the duties in cash. Some were on the day of their destruction in transit for exportation, others had been landed but a day, and while the merchants now ask to simply that the goods in question be destroyed in their original and unbroken packages as imported, on which they would have been entitled to drawback, the duties shall be remitted to them.

The pending bill is most explicit in its regulations. The original entries and invoices are in the Custom House as a check—the officers of the Customs are to make the examination, and all proceedings are to receive the sanction of the Secretary of the Treasury before a dollar can be paid. Mr. P. was perfectly willing and desirous that a limit to the appropriation shall be made, and if more agreeable to the House, the general floodgate would be stricken out and the bill made to embrace only the claimants in localities named, and to that end he would at the proper time submit such amendments as will accomplish that purpose.

No man in Congress or out of it who heard or will read this able argument, can fail of the conviction that the bill in question ought to pass. All the objections and suspicions by which it was overwhelmed some weeks ago in the House are conclusively answered. It is eminently just and proper, and cannot fail to become a law in Congress adjourns, unless action upon it is delayed by opposition clearly personal and corrupt. I am well aware that it will meet some such opposition, but do not believe it can be made effectually. If it is, I pledge myself to the merchants of New-York to point out the men whose machinations produced that result, and to expose the organization and schemes by which accomplished.

BUSINESS NOTICES.

MALLEABLE IRON GAS AND STEAM FITTINGS [illegible] with a perfection and neatness heretofore unattained. By the use of this [illegible] half the labor of making them, and by this method is saved, and consequently they are offered at very low prices. New-York Agency at Messrs. ARCHER, WARNER & CO., CHAPIN, TREADWELL & CO.

SINGER'S SEWING MACHINES—IN SIMPLE manner these Machines have secured the favor of the public. The highest premiums and premiums have been awarded to them at home and abroad. Thousands are using them on every variety of work, with which all families and manufacturers are familiar. Call and see the machine call and examine the specimens which are courteously exhibited, whether you do or do not wish to purchase. I. M. SINGER AND CO., No. 323 Broadway.

FIRE AND BURGLAR-PROOF SAFES, BANKING LOCKS, &c.—Merchants, bankers and others desiring most fast security against fire and burglars, are invited to examine our stock of Safes, Vaults, and Locks, [illegible] for dwelling house doors. Patentees and manufacturers of superiority over all others now in use will be exhibited. VALENTINE & DUTILH, Successors to Hobbs, Valentine & Dutilh, No. 68 and 70 Walker-st.

RUPTURE.—ONLY PRIZE MEDAL AWARDED TO MARSH & CO., by the Industrial Institution of all nations at the Crystal Palace, for their new patent radical cure truss. Instructions as to its application, Circulars, Valentine Mott, Willard Parker and John M. Carnochan. Open from 9 A. M. to 9 P. M. MARSH & CO., No. 2[illegible] Broadway, New-York.

CRISTADORO'S HAIR-DYE, WIGS AND TOUPEES stand pre-eminent above all others. Ladies can have the elegant private rooms for applying his famous dye, the greatest standard article of the kind. He also sells wigs and toupees on the most liberal terms. Depot, 6 Astor House, with at CRISTADORO'S, No. 6 Astor House.

BATCHELOR'S HAIR DYE—THE GENUINE AND reliable, the intuitable—destitution of the evil effects of imitations. True to Nature, Perfect in every respect, not to hair or skin, and to complexion not to be detected from real dye. Sold everywhere, and at the principal office, 81 BATCHELOR'S Wig Factory, No. 233 Broadway.

PIANOS AND MELODEONS.—THE HORACE WATERS modern improved pianos and melodeons are offered at No. 333 Broadway. Pianos to rent, and sold on the lowest on purchasing; for cash, or on approved credit; second-hand pianos from $40 to $150; melodeons from $35 to $150.

New-York and Liverpool, U. S. M. S. S. Co. No. 8 Wall-st., foot of Wall-st., East River. Passage reduced to $100 and $80. Freight per steamer [illegible] N. Y. CORNING, Agent.

BOTTOM.—THE SAME [illegible] Agent for the sale of the Bottom Patent, is prepared to grant Patent Rights for the Bottom [illegible] N. Y. CORNING, Agent.

WINE, WINE, WINE.—[illegible] Wine, [illegible] Madeira and sold at the PORTHOLE, No. 102 Broadway.

[illegible] Jury, upon an examination into the [illegible] for the further discovery on board of the Steamer Boston that the 26th ult., and the question of culpability attaching to the owners and [illegible] of the boat.

We [illegible] believe or that the Jury have given laughably reasons for arriving at their extraordinary verdict, and for one, I would like to see [illegible] to say for their whitewashing [illegible]. The evidence before them established the fact by several witnesses, that Mr. KUNCHUNE, the [illegible] engineer, considered the boat unsafe for [illegible] trying to travel on, and that he remonstrated with the company time after time. Also that he applied [illegible] twenty times on the day she left, to [illegible] to remain at home,—and it can hardly be supposed that he would have so persisted in his remonstrances, if he was not in fear that the boat would [illegible] to his cost, as he told his wife on leaving [illegible].

Out of the [illegible] also testified, that some weeks previously, Mr. KUNCHUNE told him he expected [illegible] would have, and could then. I do not [illegible] that Mr. K. was a child, as [illegible] speaking to me, when he made the remark, but his position shows that he was an experienced man, and the final result proves that he [illegible] what he said in his fears.

The boilers had long been known to be dangerous and unsafe, and the owners, agents, engineers and firemen prove it. It is well known that repairs had been daily going on upon both boilers while in port, for some considerable time previous to the disaster, and on the very day the trip was commenced, and that five or six boiler-men were on the boat, who were to be employed in patching and stopping them, while the boat should be in New-York on Sunday, and up to the period when she was expected to leave again for Fall River. In addition, it was proved that new boilers had been ordered for the boat, in consequence of the inadequacy of the old ones, and, when finished, they were put on board of the *Bay State*, instead of the *Empire State*, and, in consequence of this [illegible], in permanency, those old, rickety, and [illegible] boilers were continued to be used, with a fatal consequence that might and ought to have been avoided.

An attempt was made on the examination before the Coroner's Jury to prove that the boat was seen with a low head of steam than usual, and that by the engineer's certificate 57 lbs. per square inch was permitted. It was also shown that, since the examination of the boilers in May last, by the Inspectors, the boilers had become manifestly unsafe, and that numerous constitutions were had between the owners, agents, engineer and boiler-maker, about the [illegible] of the steam chimney, which were pronounced unsafe and dangerous, and that [illegible] were demanded necessary for safety. The evidence shows that the boat was constrained to be run, without these necessary changes having been added, and that with boilers greatly reduced in strength and safety, steam was carried on that fatal night near up to the extent allowed by the Engineer's certificate.

For such conduct in the engineer, the word rash-[illegible] is hardly term to employ.

A relative of the writer's was on board, and he states that between Fall River and Newport the boat was stopped, and the steam was turned on to the cylinder working the blowers, which produced the sudden heat, that the smoke stacks become red hot from the deck to the very top of them, and that this caused much alarm among some of the passengers, who applied to the engineer to know the cause. His reply was, that it was not unusual, as he had used particular kinds of fuel; I apprehend that which any kind of fuel, and all the steam [illegible] upon the blowing engines, the same result could be, at any time, obtained.

I would call your attention to another circumstance connected with this tragedy, and which shows a degree of inhumanity that could not be expected from the commander of any boat, much less from that of one of the hitherto popular Fall River lines.

A decent regard for public opinion, if not the promptings of humanity, should have induced the Captain of the *Empire State* to stop at the first place possible on his course after the accident, where medicines and medical attendance could have been procured for his dying passengers; but other motives induced him to pass by Newport where these indispensable comforts and necessaries could be procured, and to keep on for one hour and a half longer to Fall River, where the boat belonged, and where the owners and agents of the line owned nearly the whole of the town, and, by means of their influence, *might have it in their power* to compass a decision on an investigation as to their culpability, conformable to their interests.

It remains to be seen whether the community will be satisfied for these constantly recurring outbreaks and [illegible] measures, and I trust that the friends of the victims of this last horrid tragedy will hold to a strict responsibility all those who may be a court of justice be proved to [illegible]. Very respectfully, H. E. I.

New-York, Tuesday, Aug. 5, 1856.

More Fun for a British Yeoman to Crack.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

A "British Yeoman" attempts, in your issue of to-day, to excuse the appointment of the Duke of CAMBRIDGE to the command of the British army, by some unfair comparisons taken from the history of this country.

He is willing that the army should continue to be led by asses; that they should go forth to future battles without a head, as in the time of RAGLAN; that they should suffer, starve, and run away as they did in the Crimea, while he is occupied looking for the mote in his brother's eye, forgetting the beam in his own.

The Sickles corpus, trial by jury, and publicity of trial are suspended in California, he says, and why? Because a pack of foreign scoundrels—some of these subjects of her Majesty—have outraged the dignity of that State, until its sovereign people are working just such a reformation as the Barons of England accomplished when they invited King John to meet them at Runnymede, and there compelled him to acknowledge the rights of a free nation. They demanded law and order, and the people of San Francisco are aiming at the same end.

The "British Yeoman" felicitates himself that there are no Brookes or Roberts in Parliament. Now, there has been hardly a member of note, from Fox down to WILBERFORCE, who has not appealed to the double for "words spoken in debate," while we read in a late paper that Mr. ROEBUCK gave notice of a motion to expel one BARKER, as a "fugitive from justice." But, worse than all, Parliament is filled by a race of aristocratic paupers, who, while they legislate for the nation, are the tools of their noble relations among the "Lords" whose livery is on their backs, and whose money keeps them from the workhouse.

We are disgraced by the atrocities in Kansas, thinks our "Yeoman," but he has not a word to say about the atrocities which have been, and are still being, perpetrated in all India, in the name of the British people. Outrage, disorder, crime and blood mark its history, but the English ear is so much alive to be perils of Kansas that the walls which are reechoed upon every breeze from the Cape—from Africa—from Ireland, awaken no response. Again we are disgraced by the "crime of Slavery and Freedom." On the contrary, Freedom has triumphed over Slavery in this blessed land (forgive the Fourth of July, 1776) never since the sunshine of England were overshadowed by the frown of such men as Brooks or Roberts.

Yet, this "British Yeoman" is not satisfied with the Duke of CAMBRIDGE, he says, and asks if there are no other men in the country but those who are related to the aristocracy? Yes, many others, I have no doubt, but the Duke is the man who was selected by the Jury, upon an examination into the cause of the terrible disaster on board of the Steamer Boston that the 26th ult., and the question of culpability attaching to the owners and [illegible] of the boat.

The objection before us that the Jury have given laughably reasons for arriving at their extraordinary verdict, and for one, I would like to see [illegible] to say for their whitewashing [illegible]. The evidence before them established the fact by several witnesses, that Mr. KUNCHUNE, the [illegible] engineer, considered the boat unsafe for [illegible] trying to travel on, and that he remonstrated with the company time after time. Also that he applied [illegible] twenty times on the day she left, to [illegible] to remain at home,—and it can hardly be supposed that he would have so persisted in his remonstrances, if he was not in fear that the boat would [illegible] to his cost, as he told his wife on leaving [illegible].

Out of the [illegible] also testified, that some weeks previously, Mr. KUNCHUNE told him he expected [illegible] would have, and could then. I do not [illegible] that Mr. K. was a child, as [illegible] speaking to me, when he made the remark, but his position shows that he was an experienced man, and the final result proves that he [illegible] what he said in his fears.

The boilers had long been known to be dangerous and unsafe, and the owners, agents, engineers and firemen prove it. It is well known that repairs had been daily going on upon both boilers while in port, for some considerable time previous to the disaster, and on the very day the trip was commenced, and that five or six boiler-men were on the boat, who were to be employed in patching and stopping them, while the boat should be in New-York on Sunday, and up to the period when she was expected to leave again for Fall River. In addition, it was proved that new boilers had been ordered for the boat, in consequence of the inadequacy of the old ones, and, when finished, they were put on board of the *Bay State*, instead of the *Empire State*, and, in consequence of this [illegible], in permanency, those old, rickety, and [illegible] boilers were continued to be used, with a fatal consequence that might and ought to have [illegible].

An attempt was made on the examination before the Coroner's Jury to prove that the boat was seen with a low head of steam than usual, and that by the engineer's certificate 57 lbs. per square inch was permitted. It was also shown that, since the examination of the boilers in May last, by the Inspectors, the boilers had become manifestly unsafe, and that numerous constitutions were had between the owners, agents, engineer and boiler-maker, about the [illegible] of the steam chimney, which were pronounced unsafe and dangerous, and that [illegible] were demanded necessary for safety. The evidence shows that the boat was constrained to be run, without these necessary changes having been added, and that with boilers greatly reduced in strength and safety, steam was carried on that fatal night near up to the extent allowed by the Engineer's certificate.

For such conduct in the engineer, the word rash-[illegible] is hardly term to employ.

A relative of the writer's was on board, and he states that between Fall River and Newport the boat was stopped, and the steam was turned on to the cylinder working the blowers, which produced the sudden heat, that the smoke stacks become red hot from the deck to the very top of them, and that this caused much alarm among some of the passengers, who applied to the engineer to know the cause. His reply was, that it was not unusual, as he had used particular kinds of fuel; I apprehend that which any kind of fuel, and all the steam [illegible] upon the blowing engines, the same result could be, at any time, obtained.

I would call your attention to another circumstance connected with this tragedy, and which shows a degree of inhumanity that could not be expected from the commander of any boat, much less from that of one of the hitherto popular Fall River lines.

A decent regard for public opinion, if not the promptings of humanity, should have induced the Captain of the *Empire State* to stop at the first place possible on his course after the accident, where medicines and medical attendance could have been procured for his dying passengers; but other motives induced him to pass by Newport where these indispensable comforts and necessaries could be procured, and to keep on for one hour and a half longer to Fall River, where the boat belonged, and where the owners and agents of the line owned nearly the whole of the town, and, by means of their influence, *might have it in their power* to compass a decision on an investigation as to their culpability, conformable to their interests.

It remains to be seen whether the community will be satisfied for these constantly recurring outbreaks and [illegible] measures, and I trust that the friends of the victims of this last horrid tragedy will hold to a strict responsibility all those who may be a court of justice be proved to [illegible]. Very respectfully, H. E. I.

New-York, Tuesday, Aug. 5, 1856.

More Fun for a British Yeoman to Crack.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

A "British Yeoman" attempts, in your issue of to-day, to excuse the appointment of the Duke of CAMBRIDGE to the command of the British army, by some unfair comparisons taken from the history of this country.

He is willing that the army should continue to be led by asses; that they should go forth to future battles without a head, as in the time of RAGLAN; that they should suffer, starve, and run away as they did in the Crimea, while he is occupied looking for the mote in his brother's eye, forgetting the beam in his own.

The Sickles corpus, trial by jury, and publicity of trial are suspended in California, he says, and why? Because a pack of foreign scoundrels—some of these subjects of her Majesty—have outraged the dignity of that State, until its sovereign people are working just such a reformation as the Barons of England accomplished when they invited King John to meet them at Runnymede, and there compelled him to acknowledge the rights of a free nation. They demanded law and order, and the people of San Francisco are aiming at the same end.

The "British Yeoman" felicitates himself that there are no Brookes or Roberts in Parliament. Now, there has been hardly a member of note, from Fox down to WILBERFORCE, who has not appealed to the double for "words spoken in debate," while we read in a late paper that Mr. ROEBUCK gave notice of a motion to expel one BARKER, as a "fugitive from justice." But, worse than all, Parliament is filled by a race of aristocratic paupers, who, while they legislate for the nation, are the tools of their noble relations among the "Lords" whose livery is on their backs, and whose money keeps them from the workhouse.

We are disgraced by the atrocities in Kansas, thinks our "Yeoman," but he has not a word to say about the atrocities which have been, and are still being, perpetrated in all India, in the name of the British people. Outrage, disorder, crime and blood mark its history, but the English ear is so much alive to be perils of Kansas that the walls which are reechoed upon every breeze from the Cape—from Africa—from Ireland, awaken no response. Again we are disgraced by the "crime of Slavery and Freedom." On the contrary, Freedom has triumphed over Slavery in this blessed land (forgive the Fourth of July, 1776) never since the sunshine of England were overshadowed by the frown of such men as Brooks or Roberts in Parliament.

Yet, this

[illegible]

[illegible] Hunn, the Secretary, presented the results of the analysis of the manure, by a paper handed R. L. Cozzens, Esq., of Paterson, N. J., by Dr. [illegible]. The document is lengthy. From these analyses it appears that "corn-cobs contain [illegible] per cent. of potassic matter, but there can be no doubt of the fact that the mechanical influence of ground corn-cobs is beneficial to soils, especially to sandy soils, and probably also to those which are known to be benefited by chopped hay and other fibrs of the power grasses. Their [illegible] as a fertilizer is poi[illegible] in very abundantly contained in them, [illegible] as a solvent of humus, and acts, [illegible] by combustion or separated by [illegible] matters. Charred corn-cobs will also make an excellent absorbent of ammoniacal gases, derived either from animal matters or from the atmosphere."

The analyst, Dr. Jackson, is sanguine to the State [illegible].

From the foreign works received by the Secretary during the interval since the last meeting, several interesting translations were read by this gentleman.

[illegible] Vanderpoel, of Marlborough, Ulster County, N. Y., presented some magnificent specimens of the blackberry, accompanied by a communication, in which he says:

"The writer, in the course of his experiments with the smaller fruits, first noticed these berries [illegible] years ago, and, to enormous was the yield of fruit on separate shoots growing upon a stone [illegible], that he determined to award them good and [illegible] cultivation.

He thinks "this is an accidental seedling. The canes bend over into the ground, beyond the reach of frosts or plow, and must then become strong and vigorous before throwing perfect and luscious fruit. The shoots are smooth and sound, ordinarily [illegible] of Mr. specimens of the wild black raspberry, with side shoots, upon which, as well as on the main stem, the fruit thickly clusters."

In the cultivation of this fruit the writer observes: "I find canes measure best adapted to the growth of both berries and plants, and generous treatment with this, as with other fruits, is most abundantly rewarded. I have planted them in hills four and a half feet apart. The canes are hardy, and need no covering for the Winter." He names the variety the "thornless blackberry."

NEW SPECIES OF HONEY-BEE.

The Secretary read a letter from Mr. Samuel [illegible], in relation to an application made to the Patent Office for the institution of a proposed mission to Germany to investigate the new theory and practice of bee-keeping, in an "Apiarian Convention" in [illegible].

[illegible] BARLEY.

[illegible] specimens and a letter from Mr. J. W. Bruce, of County-line Farm, West Meadow, N. Y., illustrating a variety of barley. This valuable grain is a native of China. The writer commenced with seven grains [illegible] years ago. "Thousands of our barley growers will be observers, doubt the existence of such a thing as barley without beards." But, on the receipt of a paid envelope he will send one head which will reproduce itself, and all shall be supplied who ask for it.

[illegible] MEAT.

Half past 1 having arrived, the remaining half hour was thought insufficient for the consideration of the subject for the day, namely, Wheat Crops and Butter. A communication was received and read, from E. Hunn, stating that various of his samples of preserved meats will be here by the [illegible] and that from unavoidable causes the transmission of his plan was delayed until their arrival.

THE ALDERMEN.

AUGUST SESSION—TUESDAY.

The Harlem Railroad Company remonstrate against the resolution of the Board, which directs them to take up the rails of the road below Forty-second-street, and lay a grooved rail. The Company claim that they were not allowed a hearing before the passage of this resolution, and represent that the proposed change would be ruinous to their business, as well as to that of the New-Haven Company, as the large freight and passenger cars could not be run over the City on a grooved rail. Referred to Street Committee.

A communication was received from the Comptroller, in relation to an ordinance for raising money by the issue of 5 per cent. stock, to pay for awards for lands taken for the Croton Reservoir. In a communication of May 19, the advisability of raising money by such stock was set forth by the Comptroller, but recently several persons have expressed a willingness to take it at par. Referred to Committee on Ordinances.

[illegible] matters were passed upon in session.

The President announced the following Special Committees: [illegible] Telegraph Wires under the Streets—Aldermen Varian, Valentine and Fox.

On Distributing the City—Aldermen Drake, Cornell, Valentine, Herrick and McCoskry.

The Board adjourned to Thursday, at 5 P. M.

BOARD OF COUNCILMEN.

The Board of Councilmen met last evening—President Fischer in the Chair.

GROOVED RAILS ON THE HARLEM RAILROAD.

A remonstrance was received from the Harlem Railroad Company, expressing their dissatisfaction at the passage of an ordinance by this Board, compelling them to lay down grooved rails from Canal to Forty-second-street in sixty days. The ground of dissatisfaction was not having been permitted to be heard adverse to the proposition. They asked a hearing from the Board. Laid on the table.

LAMPS ON THE CITY PIERS AND BULKHEADS.

The Commissioner of Streets and Lamps sent in a communication, in response to a resolution of inquiry, [illegible] that the reason is because the same are not properly repaired, because the Comptroller refuses to pay the amount necessary for such work. The communication was referred to the Committee on Wharves, Piers and Slips.

MONEY FOR PAVING STREETS.

A communication was received from the Commissioner of Repairs and Supplies, asking for an additional appropriation of $40,000 for paving the streets. Referred.

COMMISSIONERS OF DEEDS.

A report was submitted by the Committee on Salaries and Offices, refusing to concur with the Aldermen in the amended list of Commissioners of Deeds reported by that Board, and adhering to their former action. The report was adopted.

OPENING SEVENTIETH STREET.

The ordinance to open Seventieth-street, vetoed by the Mayor, was taken from the table, and a motion to pass the same over the Mayor's veto was lost for want of a constitutional vote.

Adjourned to this afternoon at 5 o'clock.

Board of Governors.

The Board of Governors did not meet yesterday, notwithstanding it was their regular day. The following is the ordinary weekly report:

[illegible] ending Aug. 2, 1856, at

[illegible] Hospital	610
[illegible] Asylum	668
[illegible]	1,179
[illegible]	447
Penitentiary Hospital, from Penitentiary	80
Penitentiary Hospital, from Workhouse	245
Penitentiary Hospital, from Almshouse	17
Workhouse	823
Small-Pox Hospital	11
Bellevue	637
Randall's Island Hospital	299
City Prison	309
Second District Prison	9
Third District Prison	20
Juvenile Home	425
Colored Orphan Asylum	159
Children at Nurse	215
Total	5,551
Discharged	97
Number remaining July 15	5,734
Admitted	3,327
Total	9,061
Discharged	1,921
Sent to Penitentiary	190—2,170
Total	6,891

[illegible] on Re-Distributing the City.

[illegible] of Councilmen, to whom was referred the [illegible] new Ward Districts, met [illegible] the City Hall, Councilmen Gunther in the chair. Councilmen Barlow and Baxter appeared to represent the Division of the present Ninth Ward. The building lots of the Eighteenth Ward were [illegible] with the views of those gentlemen.

The Eighteenth Ward contains 15,000,000 square feet, or [illegible] square feet. The Districts, as agreed upon, contain as follows: First District, 4,000,000 square feet. Second District, 3,000,000 square feet. Third District, 3,700,000 square feet. Fourth District, 4,100,000 square feet. This is considered to be a fairer division than was previously proposed. These areas were furnished by Councilman Barlow. The division of the Twentieth Ward was effected upon equally equitable principles. The Report is expected to be presented to the Board without delay.

Commissioners of Health.

President Barnum, and Drs. Milnor and Rockwell, of the Board of Health Commissioners, met informally yesterday at the Mayor's office. In consequence of the great rain storm but little business was done. No papers were received from the Health Officer at Quarantine, the storm, no doubt, causing incoming vessels to remain in the Lower Bay.

A communication was received from Mr. Cozzens, of Gravesend Bay, L. I., relative to the practice of throwing beds overboard from infected vessels. The communication was considered, when it was ordered that all such beds should in future be burned.

A privy nuisance in Columbia-street was referred to the City Inspector.

The attention of the Board was called to the filthy condition of gutters at the Fulton Market. The City Inspector was instructed to have them cleansed and properly covered.

Dr. Harris reported yellow-fever cases at the Marine Hospital, which were properly disposed of.

Louisiana Public Schools.

Hon. Samuel Hahn, Superintendent of Public Instruction of the State of Louisiana, is now in this City, engaged in examining our Public School system, with a view of introducing more efficiency into the plan of Education as existing in his State.

Reception of Millard Fillmore.

A final meeting of the Aldermen and Councilmen Joint Committee on the reception of Mr. Fillmore was held yesterday. The aggregate expenditure was found to have been $968—leaving from the $1,000 appropriation a balance unexpended of $147. The bills were to be paid.

POLICE INTELLIGENCE.

DESPERATE ASSAULT—PROBABLE HOMICIDE.

Rowdyism and nearly every species of crime is fearfully on the increase. On Monday evening an affray took place at the corner of Twenty-seventh-street and Seventh-avenue, between two or more parties, during which it is alleged one George Foot, a laborer, struck Edward Redden on the head with a stick of building timber, fracturing his skull in a frightful manner. The injured man was removed to the Twentieth Ward Station-house, where medical aid was rendered him, after which he was removed to the City Hospital, where he now lies, with but faint hopes of his recovery.

Foot, after having committed his murderous assault, attempted to escape, but was pursued and arrested, after a long chase, by Officer Conway, of the Reserve Corps, and Sergeant Warnock, of the Eleventh Ward Police. He was yesterday morning brought before Justice Davidson, who committed him to prison to await Redden's injuries.

BURGLARY AND ATTEMPT TO MURDER.

The grocery store of J. F. Myers, No. 26 Leonard-street, was entered by negro burglars, between 12 and 1 o'clock yesterday morning. Mr. Myers, who sleeps above the store, hearing the noise, proceeded down stairs, when he discovered three negroes in the premises. As soon as Mr. Myers made his appearance, one of the burglars sprang at him with a large knife, with which he attempted to take his life. Mr. Myers fought stoutly, but the would-be murderer succeeded in inflicting several severe wounds on his neck and throat. The scoundrels then fled, without taking with them any property. They entered the store through a window.

Later in the day a colored man named Thomas Thompson was arrested by policeman Slater on suspicion of being one of the burglars, and was committed to await an examination.

BAD BOYS—OBSTRUCTING A RAILROAD TRACK.

James Denin and Martin Dolan, boys, each about thirteen years of age, were yesterday arrested by Officer Tinsdale, of the Fifteenth Ward, charged with placing obstructions upon the track of the Harlem Railroad in the Bowery, between Bond and Great Jones streets. Justice Davidson committed the little rascals to prison.

Miscellaneous.

DETERMINED ATTEMPT AT SUICIDE.

A man named John Livingston, made a most determined effort at self-destruction at an early hour yesterday morning, while labouring under a temporary aberration of mind. He jumped into the North River from the foot of Fifty-fourth-street. He was seen to do the act by a Twenty-second Ward Policeman, who, with a few citizens, procured a boat, and succeeded in rescuing the would-be suicide, who desperately resisted the efforts to save him.

Livingston is thirty years of age. He has a wife and family living in Columbia County.

He says he is tired of life, and vows his determination to destroy himself at the first opportunity.

ANOTHER CAMPHENE ACCIDENT.

Fanny Philips, a colored domestic in the house of Mr. Newman, No. 303½ Bleecker-street, was dangerously burned at that place on Monday night by the explosion of a camphene lamp which she had just replenished.

ARRIVAL OF A LONDON DETECTIVE.

Mr. Thornton, Senior Inspector of the London Metropolitan Police, is in town. He arrived by the last steamer in search of a clerk, who absconded with £1,400 from the Treasury Solicitor's office, in London in May last. Mr. Thornton is a famous detective officer, and occupies a conspicuous place (as Mr. Inspector Dorunton) in the series of articles on the London detective system, published some time since in Dickens' *Household Words*. He is quite a lion among our "shadows."

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS—August Term.

Before Judge Capron.

The August term of the Court of General Sessions opened on Monday, but a quorum of Grand Jurors not being in attendance, the charge of the Judge was postponed until yesterday, when the following Grand Inquest was impanneled:—

MARCELLUS ELLIS, Foreman.

John Axford,	Robert Leonard,	Chas. E. Converse,
Luther Baldwin,	John T. B. Maxwell	Charles Fletcher,
Solomon Bentz,	John J. Walker,	Elnathan Thorn,
Philip L. Bechman	Henry Allen,	James March,
Silas S. Furbush,	Wm. Binder,	O. H. P. Townsend,
Spencer H. Green,	Willis Blackstone.	

The Judge then delivered the following charge:—

Gentlemen of the Grand Jury: You have sworn that "you will diligently inquire and true presentment make of all such matters and things as shall be given you in charge;" that "the counsel *for the people of this State, your fellows and your* own, you will keep secret;" that "you will present no one from envy, hatred or malice, and that you will not leave any one unpresented through fear, favor, affection or hope of reward;" but that "you will present *all things truly as they come to your* knowledge, according to the best of your understanding."

This oath, so brief and precise, is yet a lucid and comprehensive statement of your official duties and responsibilities. You are to stand between the citizens and the State as a shield and a sword. While you aim in the discharge of your duties to protect the citizen from the malice of the private prosecutor, and the clamors of popular prejudice or excitement, you are not to forget that the public imperiously claims of you the prompt and certain presentation to the Courts, for trial, of all offenders against the criminal laws of the State.

The surest preventives of crime are speedy trials and certain punishments. When you have become satisfied from the testimony given before you that the person accused is beyond all reasonable doubt guilty of the crime imputed, and have found an indictment in the case, your whole duty in regard to it is performed; your obligation to the public as Grand Jurors, respecting it are discharged, and the *responsibility then rests on the Courts and the* criminal prosecutor. I know, very well, that mercy is called the darling attribute of Power, and when the circumstances surrounding a case are such that this attribute can be extended to the guilty, *consistently with the public safety, it is not only right,* but as I contend, it is the duty of the appropriate department of the government to invoke its interposition. In our day, the old but once popular doctrine, that the law can be satisfied and justice *be fully vindicated only by the infliction of the* penalty, has comparatively but few defenders. The object of legal punishment is not vindictive, but reformatory; if the offender therefore reform, the law is satisfied, for reformation is its great object.

I have thus briefly introduced this subject here, gentlemen, for the purpose of correcting an error which prevails to no inconsiderable extent among Grand Jurors, in regard to it. The idea is entertained by some people that Grand Juries have discretionary power in the exercise of their functions; that they are at liberty to find an indictment or to refuse to find one, according to their own views of the public interests in the particular case, regardless *of the evidence of guilt or innocence.* Now, either this is an erroneous opinion or the oath which is administered to Grand Jurors, and which we have just repeated, bind them to do that which the law does not exact. The oath declares that the jury shall protect the innocent and indict the guilty. The question of guilt is the sole question submitted to the jury, and that is determined only by the evidence. The law has placed the power to extend mercy or favors to offenders in other hands; in some cases it is given to the Courts, and it can be exercised in a special manner; in other cases that power is lodged with the Executive department of our State.

It was once declared by the Pardoning Power of our laws on the subject to which I refer, that irrespective of the evidence presented by the witnesses, Grand Jurors should exercise what is sometimes denominated a discretion, meaning the question of convicting as influenced by their opinions of the expediency of a conviction. As a common saying, I know, that Grand Jurors have the right to exercise their discretion upon the subject of finding indictments; but, with all due respect for those who entertain the opinion, I think that upon a closer examination any intelligent mind will not fail to discover that the position assumed is at war with the plain spirit of the Grand Jurors' oath, and rendering those hollow, practically nugatory of the law, which our system of jurisprudence only constitutes them its ministers.

I will not, on this occasion, detain you to listen to a particular statement upon the subjects of the territorial limits of your jurisdiction, in general and special cases, the definition of the various crimes which may be brought to your notice, and the distinction between the several grades of crime of the same nature, because all these subjects have been treated with sufficient precision for your purpose, by the District-Attorney, in a pamphlet which, I am informed, that officer will lay on your table, and which you can consult under his advice, whenever you may desire information on those subjects.

The Legislature, however, has imposed on the Court the duty of bringing several statutes to your particular notice.

This duty thus imposed indicates that the sovereign power of the State regards obedience to these statutes as eminently essential to the best interests of the public, and the inference is therefore clearly deduced that all complaints which may be laid before you, alleging violations of these provisions, should receive your prompt and persevering attention.

One of these statutes is entitled: "Of the interest of money." By this law no greater interest, discount, or consideration, on the loan of money, can be received, than at the rate of seven dollars for the use of one hundred dollars for one year; or the sum loaned. If more is received, the person receiving it is guilty of a misdemeanor, and liable to be punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars, or imprisonment not exceeding six months, or both.

Another of these statutes is the law declaring and prohibiting extortion.

No public officer or person can demand or receive any other or larger fee or reward than that which is fixed by law for the performance of the particular service for which the fee or reward is demanded. Whoever shall violate this provision is guilty of a misdemeanor, and is liable to treble the damages sustained by the party aggrieved thereby.

I must also mention the election laws. The safeguards that are thrown around the integrity of the ballot box by this important statute are numerous. All violations of those safeguards are declared to be either felonies or misdemeanors, according to their character, and the punishments imposed are correspondingly severe. What is familiarly called *swearing in a vote*, if false, is declared to be perjury; procuring a vote to be falsely sworn in, is declared to be the same crime; purchasing a vote, is declared to be bribery; furnishing entertainments, or paying for it by a candidate for office or other person, previous to or during election, or furnishing money to promote the election of such candidate is a crime; changing or altering votes fraudently, or furnishing votes containing more or less than the proper number of names, willfully disobeying the lawful commands of the Board of Inspectors; obstructing or hindering electors on their way to the polls; voting or offering to vote in any election district where the elector does not reside; voting or offering to vote more than once at an election; procuring illegal voters; procuring, aiding or counseling another to come into any town, ward or district, for the purpose of giving a vote, knowing the person not to be qualified, are all acts *which, by the election laws, are declared to be mis*demeanors and indictable.

Another of these laws is the statute prohibiting the establishment of lotteries.

At this day, no lottery, game, device or chance, in the nature of a lottery, is authorized by the laws of this State, nor can lottery tickets be sold. Every violation of this statute is declared to be a misdemeanor and is indictable.

In relation to the law respecting the sale of intoxicating liquors, it is proper for me to say to you, that since the late decision was made in the Court of Appeals, in the cases arising under the statute relating to "The suppression of Intemperance, Pauperism and Crime," passed April 9, 1855, the subject is involved in much obscurity. Whatever private views of that decision may be entertained, its legal effect is apparent. Distinguished Judges differ in opinion respecting the existing laws on the subject. In these circumstances legislative interposition can alone provide that certain protection which is demanded by every consideration of morals, of pecuniary and social interests, and even of common humanity, against the accumulated evils which inevitably result from the unrestricted sale of intoxicating liquors, and which are now spreading with fearful rapidity over the society of this metropolis, the gloomy pall of poverty, disease, immorality, crime, wretchedness, sorrow and death.

In my own opinion the sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage, is prohibited in this City, and there is no existing power to authorize such sale. I believe that the sale of such liquors is a misdemeanor, punishable by fine and imprisonment, but it remains with the people, and with the Mayor, Police, and with you, Gentlemen, their servants, to settle the question, by putting the appropriate tribunals in motion upon the subject.

I have now mentioned all the statutes to which the Court is specially required by law to direct the attention of the Grand Jury; but, there are other statutes and subjects equally important to the welfare of this people, and, in my own view, I should fail in the proper discharge of my official duty, if I should omit to bring them to your notice.

The Act to amend the existing law relative to bribery, passed April 16, 1856, is a very important law in relation to this City. It is designed for the punishment of acts of official malfeasance committed by municipal and other officers. It provides that every officer of the Corporation, who shall accept any gift, thing of value, or advantage, or any promise or undertaking to make or furnish the same, under any agreement or undertaking, that his vote, opinion, judgment or action shall be influenced thereby, or shall be given in any particular manner, or upon any particular side of any question, matter, cause or proceeding then pending, or which may, by law, be brought before him in his official capacity, *or who shall directly*, or indirectly, demand, *propose* to receive, receive or entertain any negotiations or *propositions* for such gift, thing of value or advantage as a consideration or motive for his official vote, action, or influence, shall, upon conviction, be forever disqualified from holding any public office, shall forfeit the office he holds, and may be punished by *imprisonment in the State prison not exceeding* ten years, or by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars, or both in the discretion of the Court.

I will also mention the statute which makes it a crime to buy, or, in any manner, to receive stolen property, knowing it to have been stolen. This offence is punishable by imprisonment in the State prison or a term not exceeding five years, or in the county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not exceeding $250, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

The practice of receiving stolen property is one of the prevailing and most pernicious evils of this City. As the system is pursued, young children are decoyed into the service of the shamefully depraved, cowardly principals, and exposed to all the risks of detection and punishment, while the receivers themselves are comparatively safe with their plunder, and are thus made rich by the demoralization and ruin of the cherished hopes of many an humble, it may be, yet, nevertheless, doating and worthy parent.

To the subject of gambling, and of lottery policies, I have several times heretofore referred, and have enforced my reference with all the arguments which it has been in my power to present. A repetition of those arguments here would not probably subserve any useful purpose. They are all known to you, gentlemen. The sad consequences which inevitably flow from the practice of these social evils, if you choose to adopt that delusive name, are scattered around you, and to see them you need but to lift your eyes. The responsibility for the continuance of these evils does not rest on me.

I will bring to your notice, gentlemen, the statute entitled "Of dueling and challenging to fight." To fight a duel with any deadly weapon, in this State, although no death ensue; to leave this State for the purpose of eluding the operations of the statute of this State respecting dueling or challenging to fight; to send or accept a challenge, verbal or written, to fight a duel; to carry or deliver a challenge; to be present as aid, second or surgeon, where a duel is fought, and to advise, countenance or assist at a duel, are felonies, and the offenders are, upon conviction, liable to be punished by imprisonment in the State Prison for terms varying from seven to ten years. In our day the duellist's "code of honor" has ceased to be esteemed among gentlemen a respectable code; to send or accept a challenge, or to fight a duel, is no longer deemed an indication of true courage, nor of an enlightened sense of honor.

The Legislature of this State in its wisdom long *since condemned dueling*, and by its enactments stamped upon it the impress of legal condemnation. If, gentlemen, you have information that any violation of this statute has occurred under such circumstances as subjects the guilty participators in the *deed to your cognisance, I trust that you will take* prompt and efficient action against them, and thus institute proceedings which shall signally vindicate the authority of this law.

Gentlemen of the Grand Jury: Recent events in a *sister State* of this Republic present a stringent incentive to diligence and energy in your official action at this time. You cannot be ignorant of these events, or of their primary cause. The news has been heralded throughout the land, and I may *say throughout the world.* The lovers of law and order, every where, are startled, and all true friends of our country are aroused to reflection, upon the duties and responsibilities of citizens to the Government under which they live, and to which they must look for the protection of life, liberty and property. The history of those events is a solemn practical illustration of the truth of that important lesson in political economy which is embraced in the words: "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." If freemen desire the continuance of a wise and just Government, they must attend to that Government. The material dependencies of human events are such, and such is the flow of things, that like precedes like in the operations of Government certainly as in the processes of nature. No intelligent person will propose that the citizens of San Francisco might not have avoided the crisis which is now convulsing that city. They possessed the necessary political power. This is proved by the fact that when they did rise in their own defence of their political and personal rights they prevailed. Hence it is clear that if the enterprising and order-loving citizens of the Pacific State had from the beginning bestowed proper attention to the affairs of Government, and had been always prompt in the enforcement of the criminal laws against offenders, no such humiliating necessity as that of rising above the laws in their own defence, a necessity which has for the second instance in its history, would have existed.

I have referred to the recent events in San Francisco, in the hopes that your minds may be more strongly influenced by the reference to guard the peace of our own City by upholding the supremacy of the laws which are enacted to preserve its peace.

In this connection, I will particularly invite your attention to that disorderly element which seems to have appeared in our own City within the past few weeks, and which has been manifested in a most turbulent manner on several occasions.

Gentlemen, we should take warning from experience and observation. We should not permit a dangerous, disturbing element to be become strong and bold, by our indifference to it in its beginning. Our citizens should be enabled to feel that they are secure against open or covert violence, at all times and in all proper places—neither fire-arms, cowhides, canes nor other dangerous weapons should be suffered to usurp the functions of the courts and officers of law, in the adjustment of real or fancied wrongs. You, gentlemen, cannot be ignorant of the fact, that within a few weeks a murder has been perpetrated in this City, and yet no trace of the perpetrator has been discovered. The victim of that unhallowed outrage was, it is true, a man occupying a humble place in society and business, but he was none the less a good and valuable citizen. The body of the murdered man bore evidence that the murderer was instigated to do the deed by a far baser motive than plunder. Neither can it be unknown to you that within the last few weeks several assaults and batteries have been perpetrated in our midst, evincing uncommon boldness and disregard of public order and personal rights, unless the circumstances under which they originated legally justify the attack. I hope, Gentlemen, that proceedings will be instituted before you for the investigation of all these occurrences, with a view to the trial of the parties implicated, and I am happy in assuring you, that I entertain no doubt that you will investigate them, not only with calmness, candor and patience, but with energy and promptness, to the end that you and I, and all our fellow citizens, may have new reason for respecting our institutions of Government, and for repeating alone in them for the redress of our wrongs. You are specially enjoined by a statute of this State from disclosing the fact that an indictment is found against any person for a felony, until the defendant shall have been arrested thereon; and I think a candid interpretation of the injunction demands that you should make no disclosures of any matter whatever which may be submitted for your consideration.

When you retire to the apartment appropriated to you, you will organize by appointing one of your number as Clerk, whose duty it will be to preserve minutes of your proceedings and of the evidence given before you, and to deliver the minutes to the District Attorney when directed so to do by the Grand Jury.

Your foreman will administer the oath to the witnesses who may appear before you, for the purpose of giving evidence whenever required by you. The District-Attorney may be present for the purpose of examining witnesses. He will act as your legal adviser, and will issue subpoenas for such witnesses as you may require to be brought before you. The District-Attorney is allowed to be with you at all times for the purpose of giving information, but neither that officer nor any other person may be present during the expression of your opinions, or the giving of your votes upon the matters before you.

After the disposition of some unimportant cases the Court adjourned.

Horrible Traffic in Circassian Women—Infanticide in Turkey.

From the Correspondence of the London Post.

There has been lately an unusually large number of Circassians going about the streets of Constantinople. Many of them no doubt belonged to the deputation which came to petition the Porte that their country might be taken under the suzerainty of the Sultan. A considerable portion, however, of the Circassians now in the capital have quite another mission than a political one to fulfill. They are here as slave dealers, charged with the disposal of the numerous parcels of Circassian girls that have been for some time pouring into this market. Perceiving that when the Russians shall have reoccupied the coast of the Caucasus this traffic in white slaves will be over, the Circassian dealers have redoubled their efforts ever since the commencement of the peace conferences to introduce into Turkey the greatest possible number of women while the opportunity of doing so lasted. They have been so successful, notwithstanding the prohibition *of the trade by the Porte*, and the presence of so many of Her Majesty's ships in the Black Sea, that never, perhaps, at any former period, was white human flesh so cheap as it is at this moment. There is an absolute glut in the market, and dealers are obliged to throw away *their goods, owing to the extent of the supply*, which in many instances has been brought by steam under the British flag. In former times a "good middling" Circassian girl was thought very cheap at £100, but at the present moment the same description of goods may be had for £50! In fact, the creatures are eating their heads off, and must be disposed of at any sacrifice, however alarming. Independently of all political, humane and Christian objections to this abominable state of things, there are several practical ones which have even forced themselves on the attention of the Turks. With low prices a low class of purchasers come into the market. Formerly a Circassian slave girl was pretty sure of being bought into a good family, where not only good treatment, but often rank and fortune awaited her; but at *present low rates she may be taken by any huxter* who never thought of keeping a slave before. Another evil is that the temptation to possess a Circassian girl at such low prices is so great in the *minds of the Turks that many who cannot afford* to keep several slaves have been sending their *blacks to market, in order to make room for a* newly-purchased white girl. The consequence is that numbers of black women, after being as many as eight or ten years in the same hands, have lately been consigned to the broker for disposal. Not a few of those wretched creatures are in a state *quite unfit for being sold.* I have it on the authority of a respectable slave-broker that at the present moment there have been thrown on the market unusually large numbers of negresses in the family way, some of them even slaves of pashas and men of rank. He finds them so unsalable that he has been obliged to decline receiving any more. A single observation will explain the reason of this, which might appear strange when compared with the value that is attached even to an unborn black baby in some slave countries. *In Constantinople it is evident that there* is a very large number of negresses living and having habitual intercourse with their Turkish masters—yet it is a rare thing to see a *mulatto*. What becomes of the progeny of such intercourse? I have no hesitation in saying that it is got rid of by *infanticide*, and that there is hardly a family in Stamboul where infanticide is not practiced in such cases as a mere matter of course, and without the least remorse or dread.

Interesting from Italy.

BRITISH SUPPORT TO PIEDMONT—MUTINY AND DISCONTENT IN THE ITALIAN ARMY—DETESTATION OF FRENCH TROOPS AT ROME—REPLY OF KING BOMBA TO THE REMONSTRANCES OF FRANCE AND ENGLAND.

Correspondence of the London Daily News.

Turin, July 17.—For some days past the [illegible] has been quite a lull in political movements, [illegible] intensely on the alert as to what may be the [illegible] sult of the motions of Lord Lyndhurst and Lord John Russell on the Italian question. The [illegible] graphic dispatch is by no means clear and distinct, but I should say the general feeling among the people here is great disappointment, if not disaffection. The Sardinians understand that if Austria attacks them, England is bound to support them—at least so they read the dispatch, on [illegible] ing that both the noble lords were contented with *the declarations of Lords Palmerston and Clarendon.* The *Risorgimento* is one of the leading *and best-informed* papers in Turin, so I give what is stated in that paper of yesterday, the 16th—"The result of the declarations of the English Ministers, so far as we can judge by the telegraphic dispatch of this evening (15th), is the following: The populations of the different Italian States cannot remain a long time in their present miserable position. It is probable that all things will come to such a pitch that if the Governments do not have recourse to efficient reforms the people will be driven into revolution. In this case Austria will pretend she has a right to interfere. England will oppose her, even, if necessary, by force. Freedom in Italy has its deadly enemies in Piedmont; of course Austria, Italy [illegible] ment with an evil eye; and England has [illegible] terly proclaimed in Parliament, and solemnly [illegible] the face of all Europe, that she will [illegible] ment. With this before us we cannot [illegible]

immediate practical results from the declarations in the English Parliament. However, these declarations are of a general moral and diplomatic importance, because their disclosure [illegible] those have which, perhaps, were not without some foundation, owing to the long silence and inaction of the British Ministry. Now that the sincere and energetic aid of England is pledged, this will tend seriously and reasonably to ameliorate the condition of the Italian populations." I hope that such may be the result, as the *Risorgimento* says, but from an interview I had with a gentleman who has been for some time on the frontiers of Lombardy, I fear the people will not have patience, and it is even said some disagreeable matters have been occurring among the Austrian troops.

I see by a private letter from Naples, of date 8th July, an account of what took place on the 30th of June in the Second Regiment of the Neapolitan Guards, commanded by Colonel Pecoi, now in garrison at Naples. This is the third mutiny within a short time that has taken place in the Neapolitan army. The battalion of Tiragliatori at Caserta, whilst the King was on the ground, mutinied against their commanding officer, Ramarotunda, and the other mutiny occurred among the crew of the royal frigate *Amalia*. These are signs that discontent has found its way even among the troops of King Bomba, so Gaeta can no longer be a place of safety for him. At first it was thought that the discontent was owing to the cruelty and inhumanity of the superior officers; but that is not so; it has arisen from other sources not yet known.

I met yesterday with an Italian gentleman just from Rome. He told me the French troops are detested there. I expressed astonishment. He said it was impossible for troops to be in better discipline, or conduct themselves better. Then why are they detested? His answer was, "They support the police; and they act as the guards to keep in prison innocent men, dying in the dungeons of the Pope, the representative of our Saviour."

Genoa, July 16.—The King of Naples has made his answer, and the following is the substance of it:

"His Majesty thanks the Governments of France and England for their counsels. He wishes to act with clemency, and to introduce reforms, but in such matters believes himself the competent judge of the time and proper mode of so doing. At this moment they would not be suitable to his dignity and independence, still less would it be fitting to recall those who are banished, and liberate those who are imprisoned."

News from Utah.

THE FAMINE RELIEVED—NEWS OF DR. HURT AND HIS PARTY, ETC.

Advices from Great Salt Lake City, are received to the 25th of June.

The famine, which at one time seemed likely to desolate the Territory, was relieved to some extent by the ripening of peas, turnips, beets, radishes, &c. The *News* of the 18th says:

"There is still a scarcity of breadstuffs, though peas, turnips, beets, radishes, &c., in the gardens of the diligent, are greatly helping out that deficiency, and beef is fat and tolerably plenty. When such is the case, which is far better than it was at this time in 1848, why so much begging by women and children, who not only have no line from their Bishops, but many of whom cannot, or will not, tell even the names of their Bishops? And that is not the worst feature, for young, active and middle-aged women creep around with gray wigs on, feigning age and infirmity, and young children who are perfectly supple, walk as though they were lame, and say that their parents are sick or dead, when they are alive and well.

In some regions of the earth such a course may be requisite to move upon the compassion of the affluent, but here all know that the cries of the really destitute are never unheard, and their reasonable wants have ever been supplied, so far as circumstances and means would possibly permit, and no one has yet starved, or necessarily suffered very severely through want of food.

In the paper of the 25th of June, the following is published:

Wheat harvest is close at hand, breadstuff is still scarce and the owners of fields of grain will probably have a surplus. They generally have much labor to perform, and, consequently, are apt to harvest in more or less of a wasteful manner. For these reasons wisdom obviously dictates the the policy of *freely permitting gleaners to follow the rakers and* binders, thus opening an official way in which the poor will be able to materially contribute to their own support, and saving what will otherwise be lost or go towards further encouraging a slovenly husbandry by tilling soil with not even a crop.

The Bishops, in each Ward throughout the Territory, are requested to see to it that every grain-raiser permits the poor to glean his fields, unless he prefers to glean them himself immediately after the grain is bound; and if any one will not seasonably and properly glean his fields, not permit the poor to do so, let his Bishop deal with him according to the law of Zion.

BRIGHAM YOUNG,
HEBER C. KIMBALL.

By the politeness of Surveyor-General, David H. Burr, we are enabled to furnish the following items from a letter to Gen. Burr from Dr. Hurt, written on the 5th of June, 20 miles below the bridge on the Humbolt, or Mary's River.

On the morning of June 1, A. P. Hawes came to the camp with information that some Indians had reported that Carlos Murray and wife, and a young man named Redden, had been killed by the Indians. Mr. Hawes was inclined to believe that they had been killed somewhere between the point at which the letter was written and the head of Mary's River, and, probably about three weeks previous. Dr. Hurt informed the few diggers then in camp of the report, who replied that they had not before heard *of it.*

At the head of Mary's River valley 190 Indians were met, who, at first, seemed friendly, part of them were painted and aby, and upon receipt of *some presents they packed up in great haste and* left for parts unknown.

*Nothing was found to give a clue to the mur*derers, except a gold pencil and ear-ring which Mr. Hawes said belonged to his sister, Murray's wife; Mr. Hawes, also, stated that he had seen Murray's pistols in the possession of some emigrants, who said they had bought them from the Indians.

Dr. Hurt and company were all well, and had met with no molestation.

Frost nipped vegetation in the low portions of the city on the 23d inst.

MARKET REPORTS.

Markets—Carefully reported for N. Y. Daily Times.

TUESDAY, Aug. 5—6 P. M.

The boisterous weather to-day seriously impeded general business.

ASHES—Are selling slowly, yet regularly, at $7 75 for Pearls, and $6 00 for Pots, ⅌ 100 lbs.

BREADSTUFFS—Some 5,000 Bbls. American Yellow brought $5c. @ 23½c. ⅌ B.

CANDLES—Are rather more inquired for at previous quotations.

COFFEE—Continues inactive at [illegible] figures. Java, 14½c.; Laguayra and Maracaibo at 11¼c. @ 11½c.; Rio at 10c. @ 10½c.; and St. Domingo at 10¼c. @ 10½c. ⅌ B.

COPPER—The demand is moderate, but prices are firm—leaning in favor of purchasers.

COTTON—The market is without any essential variation. The inquiry is limited and prices are somewhat unsettled. Factors, however, do not appear eager to realize. Small sales have been reported to day at about 11¼c. @ 11¾c. for Upland Middlings; 11¾c. @ 11¾c. for Gulf do.; and proportionate rates for other kinds, ⅌ B. The first bale of new season of this season arrived to-day, per steamship [illegible]

[illegible]

FLOUR AND MEAL—	
Western Flour is still active and firm [illegible]	
[illegible]	
[illegible]	

AUCTION SALES.

[illegible] WEDNESDAY, Aug. 6, at 10 o'clock, in the new store of GUS. [illegible] Broadway, Receiver, Fancy [illegible] and grocery business establishment, [illegible] Mesars. TYLER & BROWN, Auctioneers, [illegible] Broadway, or at the office of the Auctioneers, No. 1 Nassau-st.

Benjamin B. Franklin, Auctioneer.

[illegible] MORNING, at 10½ o'clock, at their salesroom, No. 1 Nassau-st., Fulton-st., [illegible] sale of second-hand and new furniture, comprising of a general assortment of parlor and chamber furniture in suites, and every other article in the house furnishing line; also, mirrors, paintings, [illegible], China and glass ware, [illegible] cutlery, silver plated ware, toilet sets, fancy goods, &c., &c.

Jas. P. Montgomery, Auctioneer.

250 CASES BOOTS, SHOES AND BROGANS, SALE AT AUCTION, on THURSDAY, Aug. 7, at 10 o'clock, at the store of S. M. DAVIS & CO., No. [illegible] Broadway, Brooklyn, fresh goods, adapted to City and country trade, without reserve, for cash. Regular auction sale EVERY THURSDAY.

CHEESEMANGE.—NEWSON & HOLMES Auctioneers, [illegible] Street, have regular sales of [illegible] and other fancy goods, every WEDNESDAY and SATURDAY, at 11 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, [illegible] SPECIAL SALES on MONDAY, TUESDAY, THURSDAY and FRIDAY. Office, No. [illegible] Wall-st., where they offer at private sale a [illegible] Bonds, County, City and Railroad Bonds, [illegible] Notes, Bills of Exchange, and [illegible] Dividends, Legacies, Debts, &c. References: Chase Nat. American and Trust Company Bank.

FOR SALE.

COUNTRY RESIDENCE FOR SALE, AT WALDEN, ORANGE COUNTY.—1½ miles from Depot, Stage Road at Newburg, by plank road; over [illegible] acres, with large orchard; 12 fine rooms, barn, [illegible] other out buildings, all in good order; a [illegible] fruit and ornamental trees, and other choice fruit and ornamental [illegible] excellent land. Walden is located at the head of the Wallkill, contains a Dutch Reformed [illegible] Church, several churches, &c.; the place is [illegible] to business, or as a healthy residence or country [illegible] of property given at any time. For terms, &c., inquire of subscriber, Shawangunk Village, Ulster Co.

JAMES G. GRAHAM.

HOUSE AND LOT FOR SALE—IN THE CITY OF ELIZABETH, N. J.—A three-story wooden dwelling house, with a two-story rear building, pleasantly situated in Grand-st., near the depot of the New-Jersey Railroad, in good order, conveniently arranged, with [illegible] gardens; terms of sale favorable. Apply to [illegible] GREENWOOD, Elizabeth.

HOUSE FOR SALE.—A stylish 3-story brown-stone house on 26th-st., near 4th-av.; $12,000. Also, several very desirable 3-story houses, in Madison-av., 26th and Madison-sts. Inquire of

E. R. RIMSHIMER, No. 319 4th-av.

COTTAGE FURNISHED HOUSE FOR SALE.—A delightful residence in Brooklyn, near Fulton-av. cars, with 8 lots of ground, [illegible] 20 rooms, gas in all the rooms, gas in yards, plenty of fruit and vegetables, cow and carriage house, [illegible] Brooklyn fresh goods, adapted to City and country trade, without reserve, for cash. Regular auction sale EVERY THURSDAY.

CHEESEMANGE.—NEWSON & HOLMES Auctioneers, [illegible] Street, have regular sales of [illegible] and other fancy goods, every WEDNESDAY and SATURDAY, at 11 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, [illegible] SPECIAL SALES on MONDAY, TUESDAY, THURSDAY and FRIDAY. Office, No. [illegible] Wall-st., where they offer at private sale a [illegible] Bonds, County, City and Railroad Bonds, [illegible] Notes, Bills of Exchange, and [illegible] Dividends, Legacies, Debts, &c. References: Chase Nat. American and Trust Company Bank.

FOR SALE.

COUNTRY RESIDENCE FOR SALE, AT WALDEN, ORANGE COUNTY.—1½ miles from Depot, Stage Road at Newburg, by plank road; over [illegible] acres, with large orchard; 12 fine rooms, barn, [illegible] other out buildings, all in good order; a [illegible] fruit and ornamental trees, and other choice fruit and ornamental [illegible] excellent land. Walden is located at the head of the Wallkill, contains a Dutch Reformed [illegible] Church, several churches, &c.; the place is [illegible] to business, or as a healthy residence or country [illegible] of property given at any time. For terms, &c., inquire of subscriber, Shawangunk Village, Ulster Co.

JAMES G. GRAHAM.

HOUSE AND LOT FOR SALE—IN THE CITY OF ELIZABETH, N. J.—A three-story wooden dwelling house, with a two-story rear building, pleasantly situated in Grand-st., near the depot of the New-Jersey Railroad, in good order, conveniently arranged, with [illegible] gardens; terms of sale favorable. Apply to [illegible] GREENWOOD, Elizabeth.

HOUSE FOR SALE.—A stylish 3-story brown-stone house on 26th-st., near 4th-av.; $12,000. Also, several very desirable 3-story houses, in Madison-av., 26th and Madison-sts. Inquire of

E. R. RIMSHIMER, No. 319 4th-av.

COTTAGE FURNISHED HOUSE FOR SALE.—A delightful residence in Brooklyn, near Fulton-av. cars, with 8 lots of ground, [illegible] 20 rooms, gas in all the rooms, gas in yards, plenty of fruit and vegetables, cow and carriage house, [illegible] Brooklyn fresh goods, adapted to City and country trade, without reserve, for cash. Regular auction sale EVERY THURSDAY.

CHEESEMANGE.—NEWSON & HOLMES Auctioneers, [illegible] Street, have regular sales of [illegible] and other fancy goods, every WEDNESDAY and SATURDAY, at 11 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, [illegible] SPECIAL SALES on MONDAY, TUESDAY, THURSDAY and FRIDAY. Office, No. [illegible] Wall-st., where they offer at private sale a [illegible] Bonds, County, City and Railroad Bonds, [illegible] Notes, Bills of Exchange, and [illegible] Dividends, Legacies, Debts, &c. References: Chase Nat. American and Trust Company Bank.

TO LET.

TO LET, AND IMMEDIATE POSSESSION GIVEN, THE WHOLE HOUSE, from cellar to basement, Nos. [illegible] Bond-st., near Broadway. Inquire of [illegible] BURTON, one of Sheriffs, and Lexington-av.

TO LET, UNFURNISHED.—Two light rooms on Canal-st., and Walker-st., with power of unexpired condition of lease, to [illegible] JOHN GAUDET, No. 103 Walker-st.

STORE TO LET.—No. 323 West-st. Inquire on the premises.

STEADY STEAM POWER TO LET.—Large and small rooms, well lighted, at No. 69 Water-st., between Pike and Rutgers sts.

STEAM POWER TO LET.—In light and dry rooms, in a new building in Chatham, Apply to J. C. HOLL & SON, No. 189 Chatham.

FINANCIAL.

COLLECTIONS IN GALVESTON, TEXAS.

Notes and drafts, payable in the above city, collected for accumulation of one-quarter of one per cent. Prompt and careful attention given to the collection of notes, drafts and acceptances; all the principal cities and towns of the United States and Canadas. Bills of the Commercial and Agricultural Bank of Galveston rediscounted by

KIRKAM & TAYLOR, Bankers, No. 52 Wall-st.

References.—Bank of America, National Bank, Leather Manufacturers' Bank and Shoe and Leather Bank.

BROWN BROTHERS & CO.,
No. 50 WALL-ST.,
ISSUE CREDITS FOR TRAVELERS,
Available in any part of the world.

Office of the New-York and New-Haven R. R. Co., No. 1 Hanover-st.

NOTICE TO BONDHOLDERS.—Holders of 7 per cent Bonds of this company are hereby notified that in pursuance of an act of the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut, passed at its last May session, the Treasurer is authorized and prepared to exchange for the outstanding Bonds bearing 7 per cent interest, and not secured by mortgage, Bonds authorized by the before-mentioned act bearing 6 per cent interest and secured by an only mortgage on the Company's road, franchises, &c. Said exchange will be made at this office until further notice. By order of the Directors.—New-York, Oct. 19, 1855.

WM. SEMENT, Treasurer.

OFFICE RECEIVER OF TAXES.—No. 32 Chambers-st., (new County House.)—New-York, June 25, 1856.—PUBLIC NOTICE.—To avoid the rush that must inevitably occur from the crowd of Tax-Payers who put off to the latest day the payment of their taxes, I have determined to adopt the following rule, which will be rigidly adhered to during my term of office: I shall receive no money after 3 o'clock, P. M. Every officer in this Department is strictly prohibited from receiving RECEIVERS containing money or checks for the payment of Taxes. By order, HENRY H. HOWARD, Receiver.

Offices of Cleveland, Painesville and Ashtabula Railroad Company.

NOTICE.—The annual meeting of the Cleveland, Painesville and Ashtabula Railroad Company will be held at their office in Cleveland, Ohio, on TUESDAY, the 12th day of August next, at 12 o'clock P. M., for the election of Directors, and for the transaction of such other business as may come before them.

The Transfer Books of the Company will be closed from the 1st to the 15th day of August.

GEO. S. HLY, Secretary.

NEW-YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD SEVEN PER CENT. BONDS.—The undersigned offer for sale the 7 per cent Coupon Bonds of this Company, (late issue,) having 20 years to run—interest payable semi-annually, on the first days of February and August, at the Company's Agency in this City.

DUNCAN, SHERMAN & CO.

AN ELECTION—FOR DIRECTORS OF THE KNICKERBOCKER STAGE COMPANY, for the ensuing year, will be held at the office of the Company, 9th-av. and 13th-st., on WEDNESDAY, August 13, 1856. The poll will be open from 3 to 5 P. M.

GEO. C. PETERS, Secretary.

NOTICE.—All persons are notified not to negotiate for any of the following certificates of Shares in the American Guano Company, to wit: Certificates Nos 1,707, 1,708, 1,764, 1,765, 1,767, 1,776, 1,777, 1,778, 1,784, 1,785, 1,786, 1,787, 1,788—as transfer of the same has been stopped.

ARTISANS' BANK.—The second instalment of thirty per cent of the capital stock will be payable at the Banking House, No. 117 Nassau-st., on MONDAY, the 11th of August proximo. By order of the Board.

C. T. LEAKE, Cashier.

MARINERS' SAVINGS BANK.—34-av., corner of 9th-st., open daily from 9 till 2, and Wednesday and Saturday evenings from 6 to 8. Interest on deposits of $500 and under 5 per cent.

T. S. STILLMAN, President.

NOTICE.—The coupons of the Hamshire Coal and Iron Company's Bonds, due Aug. 1, will be paid at the Banking office of GEO. S. SATTERLEE, No. 41 Pine-st.

H. T. ARNOLD, Secretary.

BANK NOTICES.

BANK DEPARTMENT.—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, Aug. 1, 1856. The QUASSAICK BANK has this day filed, in this office, a notice of the appointment of the PARK BANK in the City of New York, as agent for the redemption of its circulating notes, together with a revocation of the appointment of the AMERICAN EXCHANGE BANK, as such agent, agreeable to the act entitled "An act to amend the several acts relating to incorporated banks, Banking Associations and Individual Bankers," passed April 17, 1851.

JAS. M. COOK, Superintendent.

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, March 4, 1856.—Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the statute in such cases made and provided, that all the Circulating Notes issued by J. RELF, an individual Bank (Farmers' Bank, Mina, Chautauque County,) must be presented at the Office of the Superintendent of the Banking Department of the State of New-York, for payment within two years from the date hereof, or the funds deposited for the redemption of the Circulating Notes issued to the said Banker will be given up.

JAS. M. COOK, Superintendent.

N. B.—The Commercial Bank of Albany will redeem the Notes in behalf of the Superintendent.

mh13, '56-law1yW

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, Sept. 18, 1854.—Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, that all the circulating notes issued to C. HAHN, an individual banker, (Northern Canal Bank, Washington County,) must be presented at the Office of the Superintendent of the Banking Department of the State of New-York for payment, within two years from the date hereof, or the funds deposited for the redemption of the circulating notes issued to the said banker will be given up.

D. R. ST. JOHN, Superintendent.

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, Nov. 15, 1854.—Notice is hereby given, pursuant to statute in such case made and provided, that all the circulating notes issued to THE BANK OF THE UNION, in the City of New-York, a Banking Association, must be presented at the Office of the Superintendent of the Banking Department of the State of New-York for payment, within two years from the date hereof, or the funds deposited for the redemption of the circulating notes issued to the said Bank will be given up.

D. R. ST. JOHN, Superintendent.

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, July 8, 1856.—Notice is hereby given, pursuant to statute in such case made and provided, that all the circulating notes issued to H. VAIL, an individual banker, (Dutchess County Bank, Armenia,) must be presented at the office of the Superintendent of the Banking Department of the State of New-York for payment, within two years from the date hereof, or the funds deposited for the redemption of the circulating notes issued to the said banker will be given up.

M. SCHOONMAKER, Superintendent.

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.—Albany, Jul. 30, 1856.—The COMMERCIAL BANK OF WHITEHALL has this day filed in this office a notice of the appointment of the BANK OF TROY, in the City of Troy, as agent for the redemption of its circulating notes, together with a revocation of the appointment of the COMMERCIAL BANK OF TROY as such agent, agreeable to the act entitled "An act to amend the several acts relating to Incorporated Banks, Banking Associations and Individual Bankers," passed April 17, 1851.

JAMES M. COOK, Superintendent.

DIVIDENDS.

PEORIA AND BUREAU VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY.—A dividend of four per cent on the Capital Stock of this Company has been declared, payable on the 11th day of August, at the office of the Chicago and Rock Island Railroad Company, No. 13 William-st. The transfer books will be closed from the 1st to 11th August. The interest on the Bonds of this Company will be paid on the 1st August, at the Corn Exchange Bank, on presentation of the Coupons.

C. W. DURANT, Treasurer.

Office of the Third-Avenue Railroad Co., Corner East 41st-st. and 3d-av.,
New-York, July 30, 1856.

DIVIDEND.—A dividend of Two per cent on the Capital stock of this Company has this day been declared, payable on the 11th inst. at their office. The transfer books will be closed from this date to the 11th August. By order of the Board.

SAMUEL S. ISAACS, Secretary.

New-York, Aug. 6, 1856.

DIVIDEND.—OCEAN BANK.—A dividend of 3½ per cent on the capital stock has this day been declared, payable to the stockholders on and after the 6th day of August next. The transfer books will be closed until that day. By order of the Board.

PARKER HANDY, Cashier.

CITY BANK OF BROOKLYN.—Brooklyn, Aug. 1, 1856.—DIVIDEND.—The Board of Directors have this day declared a Dividend of four per cent on the Capital Stock, payable on the 12th inst. The transfer books will be closed until that day.

E. P. PERRIN, Cashier.

New-York Fire and Marine Insurance Co., New-York, Aug. 6, 1856.

DIVIDEND.—The Board of Directors have this day declared a semi annual dividend of Fifteen per cent, payable on demand at the office of the Company, No. 52 Wall-st.

D. UNDERHILL, Secretary.

DIVIDEND.—The Knickerbocker Stage Company, [illegible] this 4th day of August, declared a dividend of [illegible] per cent out of the earnings, payable on a date [illegible] the present, until which time the transfer books will be [illegible]

GEO. C. PETERS, Treasurer.

DIVIDEND.—ORIENTAL BANK.—New-York, [illegible] 1, 1856.—A Dividend of 3½ per cent has this day been declared, payable on the 11th inst. The transfer books will remain closed until that day.

W. A. HALL, Cashier.

BANK OF NEW-YORK.—The Bank of New-York has removed to the second story of the building [illegible] William-st., corner of Exchange place.

W. E. MERRIN, Cashier.

THE CHEAPEST PLACE TO BUY CARPETS, HANGINGS, WINDOW SHADES, [illegible] is at MATTHEWS'.

TO LET.

HOUSE TO LET AND FURNITURE FOR SALE.—No. 19 East Baltic-st., Brooklyn. Apply [illegible] o'clock P. M.

TO LET AT LOW RENTS.—The large [illegible] on the corner of Broome and Elm-sts., and also [illegible] suitable for a large boarding house, or for a [illegible] dwelling house No. 148 Waverley-place, [illegible] Also, the similar house No. [illegible] Waverley-place, near 6th-av. [illegible] Apply to R. [illegible] No. 138 Waverley-place, near 6th-av.

TO LET.—With immediate possession, the upper [illegible] No. 501 Broadway. The premises have been [illegible] renovated, painted, &c., and have no equal [illegible] and are admirably adapted for millinery or [illegible] fancy business, being undoubtedly the best location in the City. Apply to GEO. BULPIN, No. 501 Broadway.

COTTAGE HOUSE TO LET.—Pleasantly situated at Ravenswood, L. I., 300 feet from the depot, [illegible] with carriage house and barn. Will be [illegible] low to a good tenant until 1st of May next. For particulars, inquire of G. VAN ALST, No. [illegible] Williams-st., or WRIGHT & VAN ALST, No. [illegible] L. I.

COTTAGE TO LET.—A neat two-story house, [illegible] and undersigned, brick cottage, No. 606 Hudson-st. (Greenwich-village,) has gas and cooking range, to be [illegible] immediately. Apply to J. S. BROWNE, No. [illegible]

MEDICAL.

SANDS' SARSAPARILLA.

IN SPRING AND SUMMER, FALL AND WINTER, this celebrated Remedy will purify the blood and speedily eradicate every humor, from the scrofula down to the common Pimple. As a family medicine, it will cleanse the system, and prevent the accumulation of humors, which, if not expelled, lay the foundation for the most dangerous diseases. As a mild Cathartic and a Tonic, it is unequaled. Prepared and sold by

A. B. & D. SANDS, No. 100 Fulton-st., N. Y.

THE GREATEST SURGICAL DISCOVERY OF THE AGE.—Dr. KENNEDY, of Roxbury, has discovered in one of our common pasture weeds a remedy that cures every kind of humor, from the worst scrofula down to a common Pimple.

He has tried it in over 1,100 cases, and never failed, except in two cases, both thunder humor. He has now in his possession 800 certificates of its virtues, all within the vicinity of Boston.

Two bottles are warranted to cure a running sore mouth.

One to three bottles will cure the worst kind of pimples on the face.

Two to three bottles will clear the system of boils.

Two bottles are warranted to cure the worst canker in the mouth or stomach.

Three to five bottles are warranted to cure the worst case of erysipelas.

One to two bottles are warranted to cure all humor in the eyes.

Two bottles are warranted to cure running of the eyes and blotches among the hair.

Four to six bottles are warranted to cure tetter and running ulcers.

One bottle will cure scaly eruption of the skin.

Two or three bottles are warranted to cure the worst case of ringworm.

Two to three bottles are warranted to cure the most desperate cases of rheumatism.

Five to four bottles are warranted to cure the salt rheum.

Five to eight bottles will cure the worst case of scrofula.

A benefit is always experienced from the first bottle, and a perfect cure is warranted when the above quantity is taken.

Reader, I peddled over a thousand bottles of this in the vicinity of Boston. I know the effects of it in every case. Be sure the water will extinguish fire, so sure will this cure humor. I never sold a bottle of it but that sold another; after a trial, it always speaks for itself. Some time ago this herb that appears to me surprising—first, that it grows in our pastures, in some places quite plentiful, and yet its value has never been known until I discovered it in 1849; second, that it should cure all kinds of humors.

In order to give some idea of the sudden rise and great popularity of the discovery, I will state that in April, 1853, I peddled it, and sold about six bottles per day. In April, 1854, I sold over a thousand bottles per day.

No change of diet ever necessary—eat the best you can get, and enough of it.

Directions for Use.—Adults, one table spoonful per day; children over 5 years, dessert spoonful; children from 2 to 5 years, tea-spoonful. As no direction can be applicable to all constitutions, take sufficient to operate on the bowels twice a day.

Mr. KENNEDY gives personal attendance in bad cases of Scrofula.

Manufactured by DONALD KENNEDY, No. 120 Warren-st., Roxbury, Mass. Price, $1.

MARSH, ORVIS & CO., No. 26 Greenwich-st.; BOYD & PAUL, Chambers-st.; WILLIAMS & CO., Franklin-st.; McKESSON & ROBBINS & CO., No. 116 Maiden-lane; HAVILAND, HARRAL & RISLEY, Warren-st.; WARD, CLAGE & CO., Maiden-lane; and retailed by all respectable Druggists.

Wholesale agents for New-York: CHAS. R. RING, No. 182 Broadway; C. V. OCCUNNER, No. 41 Barclay-st.; A. B. DERICKSON, No. 192 Fulton-st., and retailed by all respectable Druggists. Also by Mrs. HAYES, No. 178 Fulton-st., Brooklyn; BARNES & PARK, No. 304 Broadway.

NEW AND IMPORTANT DISCOVERY IN THE SCIENCE OF MEDICINE.—TRIESEMAR.—None are genuine unless the engravings of the Seals of the Patent Office of England, the Seals of the Ecole de Pharmacie de Paris, and the Imperial College of Vienna, are fixed upon each wrapper, and around each case.

Sold by DR. H. BARROW, Member of the Imperial College of Vienna, and Royal College of Surgeons, London, who may be personally consulted at his residence, No. 187 Prince-street, (few blocks west of Broadway, New-York, from 10 o'clock in the morning till 2, and from 4 o'clock till 6 in the evening, (Sundays excepted.)

TRIESEMAR No. 1.—Is the great remedy for loss of bodily loss of virile power, premature decay, and all the distressing consequences arising from early abuse, &c.; all physical impediments vanish like magic before its influence, thus rendering its use invaluable to those entering the marriage state.

TRIESEMAR No. 2—Entirely eradicates all traces of those disorders which copaiba and cubebas have so long been thought an antidote for, to the ruin of the health of a vast portion of the population.

TRIESEMAR No. 3—Is the great European remedy for that class of disorders which, unfortunately, the English physician treats with mercury to the inevitable destruction of the patient's constitution, and which all the Sarsaparilla in the world cannot remove.

TRIESEMAR No. 1, 2, and 3, are prepared in the form of a lozenge, devoid of taste or smell, and can be carried in the vest pocket. Sent to any part of the world, directed to *separate doses as administered*, by Valpeau, Lallemand, Roux, Ricord, &c., &c. Price $3 each, or four cases in one for $9, which saves $3; and in $27 cases whereby there is a saving of $3. To be had wholesale and retail of DR. BARROW, No. 187 Prince-st., a few blocks west of Broadway, New-York. Immediately on receiving remittance, Dr. Barrow will forward the Triesemar to any part of the world, securely packed, and addressed according to instructions of the writer.

Attendance daily from 8 A. M. till 2 P. M. and from 4 till 8 in the evening.

PRIVATE CONSULTATIONS.—Dr. WATSON has, for a long series of years, confined his attention to diseases of a private nature, in his own street, and has less than twenty thousand cases, without an instance of failure. The remedies are mild, and do not keep the patient from business, or expose him in detection. An immediate application should always be made, but if the disease has lain concealed in the system, long and injudicious treatment, the undersigned knows himself especially competent to deal such cases by a method at once safe, speedy, and efficacious. Dr. WATSON is in constant attendance, from 7 in the morning until 9 at night, at his consulting rooms and residence, No. 5 Walker-st., a few doors west of Broadway.

Formerly Surgeon to the Lock Hospital.

DR. LARKONTE'S PARIS AND LONDON MEDICAL ADVISER AND MARRIAGE GUIDE, 44th edition, 400 pages, 100 electrotype pictures, cloth, $1 and four stamps. Mailed free. Among its contents, the anatomy of the sexual organs of the male and female, all their diseases and weaknesses; latest discoveries in reproduction; quacks, their recipes and specifics; nervous debility, local and general from excess and indiscretion in youth. The author is unequaled in the Medical treatment of Gonorrhœa, Gleet, Stricture, Syphilis, Primary and Constitutional Emissions, Impotency, &c. Office—No. 89 Mercer-st., corner Spring, opposite St. Nicholas Hotel. Hours—10 A. M. to 9 evening. Sundays—1 to 2 P. M. Book to a to sell at No. 347 Strawberry, W. commend Dr. LARMONT to the afflicted. *Courier des États Unis, Etoile Solitaire, Democrat, Day Book, &c.*

PRIVATE MEDICAL AND SURGICAL CONSULTATIONS.—Dr. COBBETT, No. 19 Duane-st., Member of the N. Y. University, may be consulted with confidence in all diseases requiring secrecy, having practiced for the last 27 years in his specialty; and at his present office he is enabled to guarantee to all who may require his services a perfect cure or no charge. Dr. C would caution strangers and others who have been misled by quack advertisements, nostrums, &c., that in him a great they can confide. He has permission to refer to parties of respectability who have been cured by him when given up by others and considered themselves incurable. N. B.—Dr. C's Diploma in his office as member of the N. Y. University.

$1,000 FORFEITED AND NO CHARGE UNLESS CURED.—Dr. HUNTER cures when the treatment of other physicians and all other remedies fail. His Red Drop is your only reliance for a thorough cure in certain diseases. No. 3 Division-st., the only place now so well known for the very extraordinary cures it has performed, without diet or hindrance from business, when all other remedies only drive the disease in the blood, only to secure the patient from secondary attacks, which is the only remedy on earth that does it. Try all others and see. Observe the No., as spurious Dr. Hunters are starting up on every block, and the remedy is counterfeited all over the country.

MEDICAL AND SURGICAL OFFICE.—DR. COOPER, No. 14 Duane-st., between Chatham and William sts., may be consulted with confidence on all diseases requiring secrecy. His medicines are adapted to every form of disease; even the weakest can take them without fear of detection. His medicines for nervous debility and weakness of the seminal organs never fail to cure, leaving the system in as good a condition as before the dread malady took up its abode therein. Charges for medicine and advice very moderate, and no charge made unless perfectly cured. Office hours, 8 A. M. to 8½ P. M.

A RETIRED PHYSICIAN—Whose hands are full have nearly run out, discovered, while living in the East Indies a certain cure for consumption, bronchitis, coughs, colds and general debility. Wishing to do as much good as possible he will send to such of his afflicted fellow-beings as request it, this recipe, with full directions for making up and successfully using it. He requires each applicant to inclose him one shilling, three cents to be returned as postage on the recipe, and the remainder to be applied to the payment of this advertisement. Address Dr. H. JAMES, Jersey City, N. J.

SANDS' SARSAPARILLA.—The original and genuine article! This very efficacious preparation is unknowing to all the medical remedies ever introduced for purifying the blood, and the cure of scrofula, and all cutaneous disorders. Its great success has raised up a host of spurious imitations. Ask for Sands' Sarsaparilla, and take no other. Prepared and sold by

A. B. & D. SANDS, No. 100 Fulton-st., New-York.

SURE CURE.—DR. WARD'S UNFOCTUNATES' FRIEND, used before all others for the cure of certain diseases, without mercury or mineral poisons. Dr. WARD himself has had more experience, and cured more cases than almost any other physician living. A perfect cure guaranteed in a short time. Unfortunates' Friend, price $1. Office No. 51 Canal-st., one door from Broadway.

DR. HALPH—Author of the "Practical Private Treatise," &c., &c. Office, No. 645 Houston-st., near Wooster. Hours, 9 to 12 A. M., and 6 to 9 P. M., Sunday excepted. Stricture and all private diseases, also all chronic and female diseases successfully treated. By letter address Box No. 849 Post-Office.

IMPORTANT TO FEMALES.—Diseases of Females treated by Dr. POWERS. Special irregularities and all obstructions removed by appropriate treatment. Dr. POWERS' FEMALE MONTHLY PILLS $1 per box; warranted to produce the effect intended. Office No. 59 Canal-st., corner Broadway.

BOUNTY LANDS.

UNITED STATES PASSPORTS.—Issued through J. F. JONES, Notary Public, U. S. Commissioner and Commissioner of all the States, No. 124 Water-st. Bounty Land Warrants, Pensions, Back Pay, &c., procured by C. E. K.

LAND WARRANTS WANTED.
TAYLOR BROTHERS,
No. 76 Wall-st., New-York.
Orders promptly supplied. Warrants always on hand.

LAND WARRANTS WANTED.—By KIRTLAND & CO., No. 42 Wall-st., New-York. Orders promptly filled.

FRANCIS STRONG.—Attorney and Counsellor at Law in the State and United States Courts, has removed to Whitlock's Buildings, southeast corner of Massau and Beekman sts., offices Nos. 15 and 16.

RAILROADS.

SARATOGA AND LEBANON SPRINGS, HUDSON RIVER RAILROAD.

TWO DAILY EXPRESS TRAINS FOR PLEASURE TRAVEL.

Passengers leaving New-York by the Express Trains for Saratoga and Lebanon Springs, Albany.

At 6 A. M. Arrive at Saratoga at 12:45 P. M., Lebanon Springs at 1½ P. M.

At 12 M. Arrive at Saratoga at 6:30 P. M., and at Lebanon Springs at 7½ P. M.

Tickets sold, and baggage checked through.

The same trains connect at Peekskill for Lake Mahopac.

At Catskill for Catskill Mountain House.

At Albany for Niagara Falls and the Lakes.

And at Troy for Lake George and Canada.

A. F. SMITH Supt.

GREAT AMERICAN ROUTE!

MICHIGAN SOUTHERN RAILROAD, TO CHICAGO, St. Louis, Rock Island, St. Paul's, Milwaukee, and all points West and Northwest, via New-York and Erie, New-York Central, American Lake Shore Railroads, and the Company's magnificent steamships, run to Toledo, forming the shortest, quickest and pleasantest route to the Great West. For through tickets and rates of freight, apply at the Company's Office, No. 193 Broadway, corner of Dey-st., New-York.

JOHN F. FORYTHE, Agent.

GREAT CENTRAL ROUTE.

The Through Ticket and Freight Office of the GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY, MICHIGAN CENTRAL RAILROAD, and their Railroad and Steamboat connections in Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, Minnesota, Canada, and all points West and Southwest, via Suspension Bridge, Buffalo or Niagara, is at No. 192 Broadway, New-York, corner of Cortlandt-st.

DARIUS CLARK, Agent.

SARATOGA, MONTREAL, LEBANON SPRINGS AND THE WEST.—NEW-YORK AND HARLEM RAILROAD.—FARE REDUCED.—To Albany, $1 50; Saratoga, $2 62.—Two DAILY EXPRESS TRAINS, 7 40 A. M.—Express Train leaves corner of White and Centre sts., connecting at Albany at 11.15 A. M. with Central Railroad for the West, and with Albany and Northern Railroad at 11 A. M., for Saratoga, where passengers arrive at 12.45 P. M., and thence to Montreal; and at Chatham Four Corners with Western Railroad, for Lebanon Springs, where passengers arrive at 1.30 P. M.

8.10 A. M.—Mail Train, stopping at all stations, and arriving in time for the Central Railroad.

2.30 P. M.—Express for Albany, connecting with the Central Railroad; Express Train for the West at 11 P. M., and with Saratoga Train at 7 A. M. the next morning.

All the above Trains connect at Croton Falls with Stages for Lake Mahopac.

RETURNING.

8.30 A. M.—Express Train from Albany for New-York, without change or cars, on the arrival of the 8.15 Express Train per Central Railroad.

8.45 A. M.—Mail Train, stopping at all stations.

4.30 P. M.—Express Train leaving Albany on the arrival of the 4.30 P. M. Express Train of the Central Railroad, and the arrival of the Albany and Northern Railroad Train from Saratoga.

Baggage checked through.

W. J. CAMPBELL, Superintendent.

NEW-JERSEY RAILROAD.—FOR PHILADELPHIA and the South and West, Jersey City ferry. Express Line, leaving New-York at 8 and 4 and 7 P. M., at 4, 12 M., 2:30 stopping at all stations. Through tickets sold for Cincinnati and St. Louis and the West, and for Baltimore, Washington and the South. Baggage checked to Philadelphia.

[illegible]

NEW-YORK AND NEW-HAVEN RAILROAD AND PROVIDENCE, HARTFORD AND FISHKILL RAILROAD.—On and after [illegible] Express leaves New-Haven at 7:10 A. M., (Accommodation,) and 12:40 P. M., Express. Leave Providence 9 A. M., Express, 2:30 P. M., Accommodation, connecting at Hartford with Express train for New-York. For particulars see Providence Railroad Guide.

J. A. BROOKS, Agent, Providence.

NEW-YORK AND HARLEM RAILROAD COMPANY.—LAKE MAHOPAC.—Passengers visiting this Lake will find this the most desirable resort, only 2 hours from 26th-st., by the cars, and 6 minutes by stage. Distance 4½ miles. 4 stages in readiness at Croton Falls to convey passengers.

WM. J. CAMPBELL, Superintendent.

NEW-YORK AND ERIE RAILROAD COMPANY'S EXPRESS.—GENERAL OFFICE, No. 175 BROADWAY, N. Y.—The New-York and Erie Railroad Company are now prepared to do a regular express business.

D. C. MCCALLUM, General Sup't.
H. D. RICE, Sup't Express.

INSTRUCTION.

RUTGERS FEMALE INSTITUTE—No. 264 Madison-st., New-York.—This Institution has continued in successful operation for a period of 18 years, and is still under the supervision of Rev. D. C. VAN NORMAN, as Principal. The course of instruction embraces a thorough and substantial education, and in the departments were practicable, is illustrated by an ample apparatus. Pupils in the City are conveyed to and from the Institute in a small omnibus, at the expense of the Board of Trustees. Rev. JOHN M. KREBS, President.

J. N. C. LEVERMORE, Sec. E. D. BROWN, Treas.
Joseph Horne, L. Keeler, Benj. W. Clapp,
Thompson Price, T. Williams, Jr., Samuel Milbank,
Jas. E. Holmes, Geo. M. Clearman, Wm. K. Hinman,
James Horn, John Gray, Samuel Raynor.

COLUMBIA INSTITUTE.—Located at Chatham, Columbia County, New-York. The next term of this Institute will commence on Wednesday, August 27, 1856. A limited number of young ladies and gentlemen will be received as boarders. Instruction is given in the English branches, ancient and modern languages, music, drawing, painting, embroidery. It is the aim of those to whom the direction of this Institution is intrusted to make it in every respect worthy of its constantly increasing patronage. For further information, see Catalogues, which may be obtained of R. C. ROOT, Esq., No. 7 Astor-place, New-York; of MURRAY HOFFMAN, Esq., No. 257 Fulton-st., New-York; or by addressing the Principal at Chatham, Columbia County, N. York.

DR. F. BERTHET—Respectfully informs his friends and the public that on the 8th of September he will open a boarding and day school, No. 42 West 21-st., for young gentlemen, where all the branches of a thorough classical and commercial education will be taught. French is the language of the school. There is a gymnasium in the institution.

THE MISSES ROSIE—Respectfully inform their friends and the public that their Boarding and Day School will be re-opened on MONDAY, Sept. 15. Circulars can be obtained at their residence, No. 169 West 26th-st., or at the bookstores of Messrs. Appleton, No. 346, Lockwood, No. 411, and Carter, No. 530, Broadway.

A SCHOOL FOR BOYS—Will be opened, Sept. 10, by the subscriber, at No. 55 Madison-av., corner of 27th-st. For information, apply as above.

GEORGE N. CLEAVELAND.

MRS. OKILL'S BOARDING AND DAY SCHOOL—Will be reopened on TUESDAY, Sept. 9. Circulars can be obtained at her residence, No. 6 and 10 Clinton-place, 8th-st.

MRS. MACAULAY'S BOARDING AND DAY SCHOOL—No. 43 East 21st-st., will reopen on MONDAY, Sept. 15.

ZINC PAINTS.

ZINC PAINT.—The undersigned, agents for the sale of White Oxide of Zinc, prepared by the PENNSYLVANIA AND LEHIGH ZINC COMPANY, are prepared to receive orders for the same, and would invite the attention of the trade to its evident superiority over others of American manufacture, and the most essential competition with the best of foreign makers, not with consistency, cheapness, durability, and the advised quality of this Paint, which is found to possess qualities fitted for purity, great body and uniform whiteness. Price and terms arranged on the most liberal footing.

JAMES T. LEWIS & CO., No. 149 Maiden-lane.

THE NEW-JERSEY ZINC COMPANY—By improved process of manufacture, are now prepared to offer their White Oxide of Zinc, superior in whiteness to any other manufactured in this country, full pitter dry or ground in oil, by

H. APPLEBY & CO., Agents,
No. 108 Washington-st.

FOR EUROPE.

THE REGULAR LINE OF PACKETS.

AVALANCHE, Capt. James Wood.

These ships have been built for speed, especially for Government service. Every care has been taken in their general outfit, so that the comfort of passengers may be secured, and their safety insured, by means unknown and unused before. Their cabins are unsurpassed for size and ventilation. Price of passage the same as other first class packets. Parties of name are expected to come on board at New-York, in Liverpool, in Antwerp, Havre, Paris, Hamburg, and Frankfort-on-the-Main, free of charge, and will be forwarded to New-York, free of charge, if required, on application to the Agents, Liverpool, or Havre.

Tickets may be obtained on board the boat to the Ship.

Passage money, in gold, or its equivalent, will be required on the delivery of the tickets.

PROPOSED DATES OF SAILING.

FROM NEW-YORK.		FROM LIVERPOOL.	
Saturday, April 26	1856	Wednesday, May 14	1856
Saturday, May 10	1856	Wednesday, May 28	1856
Saturday, May 24	1856	Wednesday, June 11	1856
Saturday, June 7	1856	Wednesday, June

The Great Diamond Robbery.

Arrest of the Thieves.

Recovery of the Stolen Property.

Yesterday afternoon, by some mysterious agency, the stolen property was placed in the hands of Chief of Police Kelso, and the thieves who robbed Mr. Tiffany of the diamonds are now in the hands of the Police. The story of the robbery and the arrest of the thieves is as follows:

Mr. Tiffany, the well-known jeweller, had been in the habit of carrying a large quantity of diamonds and other valuable jewelry with him in his pocket, for the purpose of showing it to his customers. On Tuesday morning, Mr. Tiffany had a large quantity of diamonds in his pocket, and was on his way to his store, when he was accosted by a man who was well dressed, and who had the appearance of a gentleman, who asked him if he was not Mr. Tiffany, and on being answered in the affirmative, said that he was a stranger in the city, and had a large quantity of diamonds in his pocket, which he was anxious to dispose of, and that he had been recommended to Mr. Tiffany as the person who would purchase them. Mr. Tiffany, being in a hurry, told the man that he was not in the business at present, but that he was on his way to his store, and if he would accompany him there he would see what he could do for him. The man then accompanied Mr. Tiffany to his store, and while there Mr. Tiffany showed him some diamonds, and the man then said that he was a stranger in the city, and was anxious to dispose of his diamonds, and that he was in great need of money, and would be willing to sell them at a very low price. Mr. Tiffany then told the man that he was not in the business at present, but that he would see what he could do for him, and that he would be at his store in the evening, and if he would call then he would see what he could do for him. The